TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: MO

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
 - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
 - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
 - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
 - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
 - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
 - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
 - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
 - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
 - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
 - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
 - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
 - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL A		2. DATE SUBMITTED 7/15/2005	APPL	ICANT IDENTIFIER
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:	3	B. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	STAT	E APPLICATION IDENTIFIER
	E	I. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	FEDE	RAL IDENTIFIER
Non-Construction Nor	-Construction			
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION				
Legal Name: State of Missouri		Organizational Unit: Division of Community Health		
Address (give city, county, state and zip co 930 Wildwood Drive P. O. Box 570 Jefferson City, MO 65109 County: Cole	,	Name and telephone number of the person to be application (give area code) Name: Paula F. Nickelson Tel Number: (573) 751-6252		
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBE 444 600009		7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (Enter appropriate letter A. State H. Independent School District B. County I. State Controlled Institution C. Municiple J. Private University D. Township K. Indian Tribe E. Interstate L. Individual F. Intermuniciple M. Profit Organization G. Special District N. Other (Specify)	ct	ŕ
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:		9 NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administratio	n. Mat	ternal and Child Health Bureau
New Continuation Revision If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in both A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase Ductease Duration Other (specify):	x(es)	reality resocutors and services Administration		coma and sime negative garage
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC NUMBER:	ASSISTANCE	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROMO Maternal & Child Health & Children with S		Γ:
9 3 9 9 4 TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Service 12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (cit states, etc.): Statewide				
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:		14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:		
Start Date: Ending Date 10/01/2005 Ending Date	:		. Proje Statew	
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING: a. Federal \$ 14,575,000.0 b. Applicant \$ 0.0 c. State \$ 10,915,250.0 d. Local \$ 0.0 e. Other \$ 16,000.0 f. Program Income \$ 1,000,000.0 g. TOTAL \$ 26,506,250.0	a. YES, THIS PRODUCTION OF PRO	ION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE REAPPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAIR PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON GRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E.O. 12372 ROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE ICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT attach an explanation No	E FOF	R REVIEW
DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORI ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSIS	ZED BY THE GOVE STANCE IS AWARD	1		
a. Typed Name of Authorized Representat Rebecca Mankin	ve	b. Title Asst to Div Director of Operations		c. Telephone Number (573) 751-6014
d. Signature of Authorized Representative				e. Date Signed

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

F	ORM 2			
MCH BUDGET D		Y 2006		
_	d) and 505(a)(3)(4)] ATE: MO			
	ATE: IVIO			
FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:			\$	14,575,000
A.Preventive and primary care for children:				
\$ 4,663,335 (32%)				
B.Children with special health care needs:				
\$5,591,670 (38.36 %) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the	application)[Sec. 505	(a)(3)]		
C.Title V admininstrative costs:				
\$ 1,457,500 (10 %) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]				
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)			\$	0
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)			\$	10,915,250
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)			\$	0
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)			\$	16,000
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)			\$	1,000,000
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 9,987,230			\$ <u> </u>	11,931,250
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	PARTNERS	HIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$	26,506,250
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of	the Title V program)			
a. SPRANS:	\$	0		
b. SSDI:	\$	100,000		
c. CISS:	\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education:	\$	904,531		
e. Healthy Start:	\$	0		
f. EMSC:	\$	0		
g. WIC:	\$	113,262,676		
h. AIDS:	\$	0		
i. CDC:	\$	1,246,328		
j. Education:	\$	0		
k. Other:	*	-		
Early Childhood	\$	126,000		
Newborn Screening	\$	150,000		
Oral Healthcare	\$	65,000		
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under	r item 9)		\$	115,854,535
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)			\$	142,360,785

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main
 Field Name: CDC
 Row Name: Other Federal Funds - CDC

Column Name: Year: 2006

Field Note:
Consists of funding for Fetal Alcohol Syndrome surveillance, prevention, & intervention (\$425,000) and Rape Prevention Education (\$821,328).

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: MO

	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2	2006
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$ 13,318,533	\$ 12,159,088	\$16,873,203	\$0	\$14,575,000	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$1,100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$10,778,900	\$ 10,642,457	\$ 12,619,902	\$0	\$ 10,915,250	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$35,000	\$9,873	\$35,000	\$0	\$16,000	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$1,000,000	\$1,172,627	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$	\$ 23,984,045	\$30,528,105	\$0	\$ 26,506,250	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	NERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$	\$107,755,867	\$ 86,904,809	\$0	\$ 115,854,535	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$28,963,488	\$131,739,912	\$117,432,914	\$0	\$142,360,785	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

STATE: MO

	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2	2003
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$13,103,064	\$11,269,145	\$13,103,064	\$11,648,532	\$13,091,676	\$ 10,825,300
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$1,500,000	\$3,989,816	\$1,500,000	\$1,814,012	\$ 600,000	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 10,858,571	\$ 11,438,030	\$ 10,952,301	\$ 10,070,479	\$ 10,268,756	\$ 9,942,837
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$ 35,000	\$6,190	\$35,000	\$ 26,432	\$35,000	\$ 44,393
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$1,000,000	\$847,029	\$1,000,000	\$1,177,320	\$1,000,000	\$1,288,958
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$ 26,496,635	\$ 27,550,210	\$ 26,590,365	\$ 24,736,775	\$ 24,995,432	\$ 22,101,488
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	NERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$6,080,675	\$5,806,845	\$5,704,972	\$585,572	\$6,042,420	\$ 2,864,939
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 32,577,310	\$ 33,357,055	\$ 32,295,337	\$ 25,322,347	\$ 31,037,852	\$ 24,966,427
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Missouri spent \$22,101,488 including \$10,825,300 in MCH Block Grant funds towards maternal and child health objectives in federal fiscal year 2003. MCHBG award and unobligated funds were budgeted at \$13,691,676. For the total partnership, Missouri spent \$2,893,944 less than budgeted.

State funds expended were \$9,987,230. State funded programs included direct health care and service coordination for children with special health care needs (CSHCN), comprehensive family planning services, alternatives to abortion services, adolescent health, School-Aged Children's Health Services, school nurse training for CSHCN, vaccines for children, Tel-Link phone referral line, genetic services, sickle cell counseling, healthy birth outcomes projects, home visiting, perinatal substance abuse and healthy babies initiatives, juvenile arthritis, newborn hearing, the SAFE CARE Network and core public health assessment and system building. In addition Medicaid income was earned to provide service coordination, quality assurance activities and outreach for children and pregnant women.

Form 3 reports an unobligated balance of \$1.7 million carried forward from FFY 2002 and spent in FFY 2003. Programs and activities that contributed to this under expenditure in FFY 2002 included:

- 1: Personal Service and associated fringe, expense and equipment, network and indirect costs due to vacancies, hiring freezes and other interruptions in program implementation \$.7 million
- 2 : Home Visiting \$.2 million
- 3: Local Public Health Agency Maternal and Child Health Contracts (funds were set aside for incentives to meet contract outcomes in the following year) \$.2 million
- 4: Financial support for the MOCARES data processing system was less than anticipated as efficiencies were addressed and a transition to the MOHSAIC system began -
- \$.2 million
- 5: Various program lapses in oral health, healthy birth outcomes, domestic violence, fetal infant mortality review, injury, disabilities prevention, child care and adolescent health projects \$.4 million

Missouri budgeted \$25 million of partnership funds for the FFY 2003 application but spent \$22.1 million. It should be noted that in SFY 03 the State found it necessary to take significant general revenue core cuts and withholdings. For those programs that could potentially be used for MCH Block Grant match, \$2.8 million was cut and \$1.8 million was withheld.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Form 3 reports an unobligated balance of \$1.7 million carried forward from FFY 2002 and spent in FFY 2003. Programs and activities that contributed to this under expenditure in FFY 2002 included:

- 1: Personal Service and associated fringe, expense and equipment, network and indirect costs due to vacancies, hiring freezes and other interruptions in program implementation \$.7 million
- 2: Home Visiting \$.2 million
- 3: Local Public Health Agency Maternal and Child Health Contracts (funds were set aside for incentives to meet contract outcomes in the following year) \$.2 million
- 4: Financial support for the MOCARES data processing system was less than anticipated as efficiencies were addressed and a transition to the MOHSAIC system began -
- \$.2 million
- 5: Various program lapses in oral health, healthy birth outcomes, domestic violence, fetal infant mortality review, injury, disabilities prevention, child care and adolescent health projects \$.4 million

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

In the FY 2004 budget, funds were incorrectly identified as unobligated. According to correspondence from HRSA to the Missouri Title V Director in August of 2004, "Unobligated balance means the portion of the funds authorized by the HHS awarding agency that has not been obligated by the recipient and is determined by deducting the cumulative obligations from the cumulative funds authorized. For the Title V Block Grant, cumulative obligations refer to all funds for which there is an existing claim (or budget obligation) for the two year period in which the State is authorized to spend awarded funds."

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds
Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

State funds expended were \$9,987,230. State funded programs included direct health care and service coordination for children with special health care needs (CSHCN), comprehensive family planning services, alternatives to abortion services, adolescent health, School-Aged Children's Health Services, school nurse training for CSHCN, vaccines for children, Tel-Link phone referral line, genetic services, sickle cell counseling, healthy birth outcomes projects, home visiting, perinatal substance abuse and healthy babies initiatives, juvenile arthritis, newborn hearing, the SAFE CARE Network and core public health assessment and system building. In addition Medicaid income was earned to provide service coordination, quality assurance activities and outreach for children and pregnant women.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Missouri budgeted \$25 million of partnership funds for the FFY 2003 application but spent \$22.1 million. It should be noted that in SFY 03 the State found it necessary to take significant general revenue core cuts and withholdings. For those programs that could potentially be used for MCH Block Grant match, \$2.8 million was cut and \$1.8 million was withheld. The primary reasons for the under expenditures are:

- 1: Funds allocated for family planning were not fully used (\$1.8 million). The Family Planning program was eliminated in SFY 04.
- 2: A portion of State funds budgeted for children with special health care needs were not used (\$.8 million) of which \$.5 million were put into withholding. The remaining was for enhancement of service coordination that did not occur.
- 3: Personal service, fringe and administrative costs due to vacancies and hiring freezes (\$.2 million).
- 4: Various programs under expended including injury prevention (\$.1 million)
- 5: The state funding support for Healthy Birth Outcome and vaccines was cut in SFY 03 resulting in an under expenditure of \$1 million which was offset in the partnership

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended

Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Interest income into the Smith Memorial Fund was insufficient to sustain the budgeted level of funding.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Missouri budgeted \$25 million of partnership funds for the FFY 2003 application but spent \$22.1 million. It should be noted that in SFY 03 the State found it necessary to take significant general revenue core cuts and withholdings. For those programs that could potentially be used for MCH Block Grant match, \$2.8 million was cut and \$1.8 million was withheld. The primary reasons for the under expenditures are:

1: Funds allocated for family planning were not fully used (\$1.8 million). The Family Planning program was eliminated in SFY 04.

- 2: A portion of State funds budgeted for children with special health care needs were not used (\$.8 million) of which \$.5 million were put into withholding. The remaining was for enhancement of service coordination that did not occur.
- 3: Personal service, fringe and administrative costs due to vacancies and hiring freezes (\$.2 million).

4: Various programs under expended including injury prevention (\$.1 million)
5: The state funding support for Healthy Birth Outcome and vaccines was cut in SFY 03 resulting in an under expenditure of \$1 million which was offset in the partnership

Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended Row Name: Program Income

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

More Medicaid funding was generated than budgeted by the Division of Community Health in providing services for children and pregnant women.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

See budget narrative

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The Division of Community Health (DCH) was created when DHSS was reorganized in 2003. At that time the WIC program was placed within DCH. Prior to this reorganization, the "other federal fund" section did not include WIC funding since the program was not under the control of the Title V director. Since the reorganization, the WIC program is now under the Title V director.

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: MO

		FY 2	2004			FY 2005					FY 2	2006	
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGET	ED	EXPEN	DED	Bu	DGETED	EXPEN	NDED		Bud	GETED	EXPENDE	D
a. Pregnant Women	\$	1,281,470	\$	1,131,037	\$	1,714,004	\$		0	\$	1,452,883	\$	C
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	2,927,152	\$	2,768,868	\$	3,857,992	\$		0	\$	2,207,612	\$	C
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	9,006,534	\$	8,290,388	\$	10,894,027	\$		0	\$	9,423,913	\$	C
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	7,969,403	\$	7,594,027	\$	8,879,170	\$		0	\$	8,459,227	\$	C
e. Others	\$	3,716,021	\$	3,093,774	\$	3,858,592	\$		0	\$	3,505,115	\$	(
f. Administration	\$	1,331,853	\$	1,105,951	\$	1,324,320	\$		0	\$	1,457,500	\$	C
g. SUBTOTAL	\$26,2	32,433	\$ 23,	984,045	\$	30,528,105	\$	0		\$	26,506,250	\$	0
II. Other Federal Funds (under the o	control of t	he person re	esponsib	le for admini	strat	ion of the Title V	progran	n).					
a. SPRANS	\$	0			\$	0			ļ	\$	0		
b. SSDI	\$1	00,000			\$	100,000				\$	100,000		
c. CISS	\$	0			\$	0				\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$9	69,291			\$	904,531				\$	904,531		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$	0				\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$	0				\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	0			\$	84,282,004				\$ <u>1</u>	13,262,676		
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$	0				\$	0		
i. CDC	\$1,0	13,000			\$	1,002,720				\$	1,246,328		
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0				\$	0		
k.Other													
Early Childhood	\$	0			\$	0				\$	126,000		
Newborn Screening	\$	0			\$	0				\$	150,000		
Oral Healthcare	\$	0			\$	0				\$	65,000		
HRSA Newborn Hearing	\$2	56,994			\$	521,994				\$	0		
HRSA Women Health	\$	0			\$	93,560				\$	0		
HRSA Women Health	\$	91,770			\$	0				\$	0		
HRSA Genetic Service	\$3	00,000			\$	0			ĺ	\$	0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 2,7	31,055			\$	86,904,809			j	\$ 1°	15,854,535		

BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

STATE: MO

I. Other Federal Funds (under the control of a. SPRANS \$	1,559,774 2,970,325 6,047,911 8,638,137 6,363,454 917,034 496,635 the person r 0 100,000 0 969,291 0	\$ 2,605 \$ 6,233 \$ 9,627 \$ 5,938 \$ 1,201 \$ 27,550,210	3,827 \$ 6,647 \$ 7,544 \$ 7,791 \$	2,998,164 6,309,892 8,747,558 5,816,940 1,052,710 26,590,365	\$	24,736,775	\$\$	1,655,792 2,338,678 5,976,531 7,913,690 5,873,037 1,237,704 24,995,432 0 99,685 0 969,291	\$\$ \$\$	1,680,079 1,704,845 5,855,380 7,141,873 4,787,123 932,188 22,101,488
D. Infants < 1 year old D. Infants < 1 year old D. Children 1 to 22 years old D. Children with Special Healthcare Needs D. Others D. Children with Special Healthcare Second Seco	2,970,325 6,047,911 8,638,137 6,363,454 917,034 496,635 the person r 0 100,000 0 969,291 0	\$ 2,605 \$ 6,233 \$ 9,627 \$ 5,938 \$ 1,201 \$ 27,550,210	5,647 \$3,290 \$3,7544 \$3,111 \$3,791 \$	2,998,164 6,309,892 8,747,558 5,816,940 1,052,710 26,590,365 ration of the Title V 0 100,000 0 969,291	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,024,954 5,720,304 7,704,335 5,088,930 1,559,621 24,736,775	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,338,678 5,976,531 7,913,690 5,873,037 1,237,704 24,995,432 0 99,685 0	\$\$ \$\$	1,704,845 5,855,380 7,141,873 4,787,123 932,188
Children 1 to 22 years old Children with Special Healthcare Secondary Secondary Children vith Special Healthcare Secondary	6,047,911 8,638,137 6,363,454 917,034 496,635 the person r 0 100,000 0 969,291 0	\$ 6,233 \$ 9,627 \$ 5,938 \$ 1,201 \$ 27,550,210	3,290 \$ 7,544 \$ 3,111 \$ 3,791 \$	6,309,892 8,747,558 5,816,940 1,052,710 26,590,365 ration of the Title V 0 100,000 0 969,291	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	5,720,304 7,704,335 5,088,930 1,559,621 24,736,775	\$\$ \$\$	5,976,531 7,913,690 5,873,037 1,237,704 24,995,432 0 99,685 0	\$\$ \$\$	5,855,380 7,141,873 4,787,123 932,188
A. Children with Special Healthcare seeds S. Others Administration S. SUBTOTAL S. SUBTOTAL S. SPRANS S. SSDI CISS Abstinence Education B. Healthy Start EMSC S. WIC ALDS CDC Education \$ 4,	8,638,137 6,363,454 917,034 496,635 the person r 0 100,000 0 969,291 0	\$ 9,627 \$ 5,938 \$ 1,201 \$ 27,550,210	7,544 \$ 8,111 \$,791 \$	8,747,558 5,816,940 1,052,710 26,590,365 ration of the Title V 0 100,000 0 969,291	\$ \$ \$ \$	7,704,335 5,088,930 1,559,621 24,736,775	\$\$ \$\$	7,913,690 5,873,037 1,237,704 24,995,432 0 99,685 0	\$\$ \$\$	7,141,873 4,787,123 932,188
Seeds	6,363,454 917,034 496,635 the person r 0 100,000 0 969,291 0	\$ 5,938 \$ 1,201 \$ 27,550,210	,791 \$	5,816,940 1,052,710 26,590,365 ration of the Title V 0 100,000 0 969,291	\$ \$ \$	5,088,930 1,559,621 24,736,775		5,873,037 1,237,704 24,995,432 0 99,685 0	\$	4,787,123 932,188
Administration \$	917,034 .496,635 the person r 0 100,000 0 969,291 0	\$ 1,201	,791 \$	1,052,710 26,590,365 ration of the Title V 0 100,000 0 969,291	\$	1,559,621 24,736,775		1,237,704 24,995,432 0 99,685 0	\$	932,188
J. SUBTOTAL \$	496,635 the person r 0 100,000 0 969,291 0	\$ 27,550,210	\$	26,590,365 ration of the Title V 0 100,000 0 969,291	\$	24,736,775		24,995,432 0 99,685 0		
I. Other Federal Funds (under the control of a. SPRANS \$	0 100,000 0 969,291 0			0 100,000 0 969,291][* _		\$\$ \$\$	99,685 0	\$	22,101,488
\$ SPRANS \$	0 100,000 0 969,291	esponsible for ad	s \$ \$	0 100,000 0 969,291	prog	ram).	\$ \$	99,685		
p. SSDI \$	100,000 0 969,291 0		\$ \$ \$ \$	0 969,291]]]		\$ \$	99,685		
S. CISS S. H. Abstinence Education S. Healthy Start S. Healthy Start S. MIC S. MIC S. AIDS S. CDC S. Education S. 4,	0 969,291 0		\$ \$ \$	969,291]]]		\$ \$	0		
A. Abstinence Education S. Healthy Start S. EMSC S. WIC A. AIDS CDC Education \$ 4,	969,291		\$ \$	969,291]		\$]]	
### ### ##############################	0		\$]		©	060 201	1	
\$. EMSC \$			\$	0	_		Ψ	303,231		
1. WIC \$		1	Ľ]		\$	0		
\$	0		\$	0]		\$	0		
CDC \$	0		\$	0]		\$	0		
Education \$ 4,	0		\$	0]		\$	0		
	111,400		\$	0			\$	1,013,000		
	,700,000		\$	0			\$	3,603,450		
x.Other			_		_					
HRSA Newborn Hearing Screening \$	0		\$	0]		\$	256,994		
HRSA TBI Grant \$	0		\$	0			\$	100,000		
First Steps \$	0		\$	3,603,450]		\$	0		
Newborn Hearing Screening \$	0		\$	199,993]		\$	0		
Rape Prevention and Education \$	0		\$	832,238			\$	0		
TBI \$	199,984		\$	0]		\$	0		
II. SUBTOTAL \$ 6,		Ì	\$	5,704,972	1		\$	6,042,420		

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

See budget narrative V.A Expenditures and Attachment.

2. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children_0_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

TBDForm 3 reports an unobligated balance of \$1.7 million carried forward from FFY 2002 and spent in FFY 2003. Programs and activities that contributed to this under expenditure in FFY 2002 included:

1: Personal Service and associated fringe, expense and equipment, network and indirect costs due to vacancies, hiring freezes and other interruptions in program implementation - \$.7 million

2: Home Visiting - \$.2 million

3: Local Public Health Agency Maternal and Child Health Contracts (funds were set aside for incentives to meet contract outcomes in the following year) - \$.2 million 4: Financial support for the MOCARES data processing system was less than anticipated as efficiencies were addressed and a transition to the MOHSAIC system began - \$.2 million

5: Various program lapses in oral health, healthy birth outcomes, domestic violence, fetal infant mortality review, injury, disabilities prevention, child care and adolescent health projects - \$.4 million

3. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

See budget narrative

4. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

Year: 2004 Field Note:

See budget narrative V.A Expenditures and Attachment.

5. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

See budget narrative

6. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

See budget narrative V.A Expenditures and Attachment.

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: MO

Type of Sepvice	FY 2	2004	FY :	2005	FY 2	2006
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 904,284	\$ 957,828	\$1,120,982	\$0	\$ 1,080,232	\$0
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$5,551,324	\$5,170,765	\$6,162,498	\$0	\$5,990,192	\$0
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$10,856,620	\$10,306,902	\$13,829,028	\$0	\$11,085,485	\$0
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$8,920,205	\$	\$9,415,597	\$0	\$8,350,341	\$0
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$26,232,433	\$23,984,045	\$30,528,105	\$0	\$26,506,250	\$0

STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

STATE: MO

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2	2003
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 6,401,412	\$5,929,494	\$6,484,717	\$5,463,144	\$ 6,248,391	\$\$
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$6,080,022	\$6,746,849	\$6,060,747	\$5,756,089	\$5,653,269	\$4,815,874
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 9,347,672	\$	\$8,972,766	\$ 8,695,179	\$	\$
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$4,667,529	\$5,336,803	\$5,072,135	\$4,822,363	\$5,144,079	\$5,070,068
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$26,496,635	\$27,550,210	\$26,590,365	\$24,736,775	\$24,995,432	\$22,101,488

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

See budget narrative.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services
Column Name: Expended
Year: 2003
Field Note:
See budget narrative.

Section Number: Main Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services Column Name: Expended Year: 2003

Field Note: See budget narrative.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

See budget narrative.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended

Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

See budget narrative V.A Expenditures and Attachment.

			FORM 6										
NUMBER AND PE	RCENTAGE OF	NEWBORNS AN	ND OTHERS SC	REENED, CASE	S CONFIRMED,	AND TREATED							
		5	Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)										
STATE: MO													
Total Births by Occurrence: 77,708 Reporting Year: 2004													
Type of Screening Tests (A) Receiving at least one Screen (1) (B) No. of Presumptive Positive Cases (2) (C) No. Confirmed Received Treatment (3)													
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%							
Phenylketonuria	76,839	98.9	11	3	3	100							
Congenital Hypothyroidism	76,839	98.9	72	26	26	100							
Galactosemia	76,839	98.9	53	0	0								
Sickle Cell Disease	76,839	98.9	46	39	39	100							
Other Screening	(Specify)												
Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH) 76,839 98.9 37 5 5 100													
Screening Progra	ams for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)									
(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.													

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 6
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: MO

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V		PRIMA	RY SOURCES OF COV	'ERAGE	
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %
Pregnant Women	1,330	100.0				
Infants < 1 year old	78,377	49.0		51.0		
Children 1 to 22 years old	144,948	26.6		66.1	7.3	
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	5,335	64.9		15.7	19.4	
Others	6,374	12.1		76.9	11.0	
TOTAL	236,364					

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main
Field Name: PregWomen_TS
Row Name: Pregnant Women
Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Pregnant women in Home Visiting Program totals 1,330.

2. Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen_XIX Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Medicaid eligibility is required for entry to the Home Visiting program, therefore Title XIX % = 100%.

Section Number: Main
 Field Name: Children_0_1_TS
 Row Name: Infants <1 year of age
 Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Number of infants receiving Newborn Hearing Screening totals 78,377.

4. Section Number: Main Field Name: Children_0_1_XIX Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The percent of Medicaid and private insurance coverage is based on insurance coverage reported on birth certificates. The birth certificates do not distinguish between Title XIX and XXI. Percentages found in MICA.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_0_1_Private Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Private/Other %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The percent of Medicaid and private insurance coverage is based on insurance coverage reported on birth certificates. The birth certificates do not distinguish between Title XIX and XXI. Percentages found in MICA.

6. Section Number: Main Field Name: Children_1_22_TS Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Number of children in Adolescent Health: 25,775, Safe Kids: ~101,076 (2003 estimate), Think First: > 18,097.

7. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_1_22_XIX

Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age

Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The percent of primary sources of insurance coverage is based on health insurance information for children under 18 as reported in the Census Bureau's Current Population Survey in March 2003.

8. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_1_22_Private Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age

Column Name: Private/Other %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The percent of primary sources of insurance coverage is based on health insurance information for children under 18 as reported in the Census Bureau's Current Population Survey in March 2003.

9. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children_1_22_None Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age

Column Name: None %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The percent of primary sources of insurance coverage is based on health insurance information for children under 18 as reported in the Census Bureau's Current Population Survey in March 2003.

10. Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN_TS

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Number of students w/ SHCNs helped under Capacity Building Contracts: 285. Children with Special Health Care Needs: 855. Children with Special Health Care Needs (service coordination only): 623. Children with Special Health Care Needs (monitored caseload): 791. Child Care: 2,781. Enhancement projects, which served 1,027 children last year, are no longer in place.

11. Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN_XIX

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The percent of primary coverage for CSHCN is an estimate based on information collected by the Unit of Special Health Care Needs.

12. Section Number: Main

Field Name: CSHCN_Private

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Private/Other %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The percent of primary coverage for CSHCN is an estimate based on information collected by the Unit of Special Health Care Needs.

13. Section Number: Main

Field Name: CSHCN_None

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: None %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The percent of primary coverage for CSHCN is an estimate based on information collected by the Unit of Special Health Care Needs.

14. Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers_TS Row Name: Others

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Number of people served in Nurse Training Programs: 2,077. Number of students helped under Capacity Building Contracts: 2,338. Parents educated on special needs: 494. Providers receiving training on special needs: 1,465. Family Planning Services, which served ~30,000 people last year, have been discontinued.

15. Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers_XIX

Row Name: Others Column Name: Title XIX %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The percent fo primary covereage is an estimate based on information for individuals of all ages as reported in the Census Bureau's Current Population Survey March 2003.

16. Section Number: Main

Field Name: AllOthers_Private

Row Name: Others

Column Name: Private/Other %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The percent fo primary covereage is an estimate based on information for individuals of all ages as reported in the Census Bureau's Current Population Survey March 2003.

17. Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers_None

Row Name: Others Column Name: None %

Year: 2006

Field Note:

The percent fo primary covereage is an estimate based on information for individuals of all ages as reported in the Census Bureau's Current Population Survey March 2003.

FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: MO

Reporting Year: 2004

I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	78,174	63,952	11,397	376	1,600	180	40	629
Title V Served	78,174	63,952	11,397	376	1,600	180	40	629
Eligible for Title XIX	35,613	26,458	8,040	239	390	51	24	411
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	77,708	63,637	11,265	375	1,593	179	40	619
Title V Served	77,708	63,637	11,265	375	1,593	179	40	619
Eligible for Title XIX	35,424	26,344	7,970	238	390	51	24	407

II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPA	ANIC OR LATIN	O (Sub-categorie	s by country or area o	f origin)
	(A) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	(B.3) Puerto Rican	(B.4) Central and South American	(B.5) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	72,219	4,026	1,929					4,026
Title V Served	72,219	4,026	1,929					4,026
Eligible for Title XIX	33,124	2,480	9					2,480
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	71,792	4,002	1,914					4,002
Title V Served	71,792	4,002	1,914					4,002
Eligible for Title XIX	32,950	2,468	6					2,468

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_All Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Deliveries: Total Deliveries in State represents an unduplicated count of total deliveries in Missouri receiving newborn screenings. Variance between Deliveries and Infants is the difference between total deliveries in the State (Deliveries) and Missouri resident births delivered in the State (Infants).

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV_All Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Deliveries: Title V Served represents an unduplicated count of total deliveries in Missouri receiving newborn screenings. Variance between Deliveries and Infants is the difference between total deliveries in the State (Deliveries) and Missouri resident births delivered in the State (Infants).

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_All Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Eligible for Title XIX is derived from Medicaid linked (Medicaid mothers/births) birth certificates.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal_All Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Infants: Total Infants in State represents an unduplicated count of total infants in Missouri receiving newborn screenings. Variance between Deliveries and Infants is the difference between total deliveries in the State (Deliveries) and Missouri resident births delivered in the State (Infants).

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleV All Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Infants: Title V Served represents an unduplicated count of total infants in Missouri receiving newborn screenings. Variance between Deliveries and Infants is the difference between total deliveries in the State (Deliveries) and Missouri resident births delivered in the State (Infants).

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_All Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Eligible for Title XIX is derived from Medicaid linked (Medicaid mothers/births) birth certificates.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_Mexican Row Name: Total Deliveries in State

Column Name: Mexican

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal Cuban Row Name: Total Deliveries in State

Column Name: Cuban

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_PuertoRican Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Puerto Rican

Year: 2006

Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

10. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_CentralAmerican

Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Central and South American

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

11. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal_EthnicityOther Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Other and Unknown

Year: 2006 Field Note: Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

12. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_Mexican

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Mexican

Year: 2006

Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

13. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_Cuban Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Cuban

Year: 2006

Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_PuertoRican

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Puerto Rican

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

15. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_CentralAmerican Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Central and South American

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

16. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX_EthnicityOther

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Other and Unknown

Year: 2006

Field Note: Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

17. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_Mexican

Row Name: Total Infants in State

Column Name: Mexican

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

18. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_Cuban

Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Cuban

Year: 2006

Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

19. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_PuertoRican Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Puerto Rican

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal CentralAmerican

Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Central and South American

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

21. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTotal_EthnicityOther Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Other and Unknown

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

22. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_Mexican Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Mexican

Year: 2006

Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

23. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_Cuban Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Cuban Year: 2006

Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

24. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_PuertoRican
Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Puerto Rican

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

25. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_CentralAmerican

Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Central and South American

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

26. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX_EthnicityOther Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Other and Unknown

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Hispanic or Latino data is not available by sub category.

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(8)] STATE: MO

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 835-5465	(800) 835-5465	(800) 835-5465	(800) 835-5465	(800) 835-5465
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	TEL-LINK	TEL-LINK	TEL-LINK	TEL-LINK	TEL-LINK
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Peggy Henderson				
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number	(573) 751-6218	(573) 751-6218	(573) 751-6218	(573) 751-6218	(573) 751-6215
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		3,227	4,052	4,618

FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: MO

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number					
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name					
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"					
Contact Person's Telephone Number					
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	0	0	0

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Main Field Name: calls_2

Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline This reporting period

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

Various factors may have impacted the number of calls TEL-LINK received, including usage of Web sites to obtain general information. An example would be Baby Your Baby Web site which received 46,851 hits during FFY2004.

As a result of access to Web sites with links to general information on MCH topics, Missourians may be using the telephone for their specific individual needs for information and referrals for health services offered by the state.

Realizing the advantage of Web sites, a new TEL-LINK Web site was created in January 2005 and has already received a total of 4,607 hits. It provides the toll-free telephone number and includes the services to which operators may connect the callers.

The program is in the process of reviewing the feasibility of expanding TEL-LINK referrals to other programs in the division, which could impact and increase future calls to TEL-LINK. The goal would be to integrate TEL-LINK across the division.

FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [Sec. 506(A)(1)]

[SEC. 506(A)(1)]
STATE: MO

1. State MCH Administration:

(max 2500 characters

The administration of the MCH Block Grant is under the direction of the Director of the Division of Community Health within the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services. The Title V program supports needs assessment and activities designed to improve the health status of women, particularly mothers and women of reproductive age, and infants and children, including children with special health care needs. Funds are directed to supporting essential Maternal and Child Health services and prevention programs. Services under Title V administrative control include Missouri School-Age Children's Health Services Program, injury prevention activities, and the Adult Head Injury Services program. Numerous other programs and services funded through the MCH Block Grant, general revenue, and other funds are under the Title V Director's control and include Medicaid case management services. Elks Mobile Dental Unit, and others.

Block Grant Funds		
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 14,575,000	
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 0	
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 10,915,250	
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 0	
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 16,000	
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 1,000,000	
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 26,506,250	
9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:		Local Public Health Agencies Home Visiting Agencies
		Genetic Tertiary Centers
 10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7) a. Pregnant Women b. Infants < 1 year old c. Children 1 to 22 years old 	1,330 78,377 144,948	
d. CSHCN	5,335	
e. Others	 6,374	

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Children with Special Health Care Needs Programs contract with physicians and other health care professionals who provide local services to participants. Services include specialty and sub-specialty care on an outpatient or inpatient basis, medication, lab, x-ray, therapies, and surgical care. The program is administered by the Unit of Special Heath Care Needs. Home Visiting Programs are implemented by community based contractors who work collaboratively with other community resources to provide serves to "at risk" perinatal and early childhood families to improve parent and child outcomes.

b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters

Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Program: The MCH program provides funding through contracts for local public health agencies (LPHAs) to deliver maternal and child health-related programs and services to improve the health of families within their jurisdictional area. LPHAs across the state are working collaboratively with the local Division of Family Support offices, schools, child care providers, WIC, community organizations, private physicians, and others to identify and refer uninsured children to Medicaid and children with special health care needs to services they need. Adolescent Health is addressed by the Department of Health and Senior Services working with the Council for Adolescent and School Health (CASH). The Department supports the development of community based "parenting the adolescent" projects in 15 school and community based settings. Injury Prevention is promoted by the Department through staff support of the State Injury Prevention Advisory Committee which consists of state agencies, regional groups, and local agencies who all support initiatives to prevent and reduce injuries in Missouri. Other Population-Based Services include Nutrition and Obesity Education, Injury and Domestic Violence Prevention, and Folic Acid and Healthy Babies Education.

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Managed Care Plus Quality Assessment and Improvement (MC+ QA &I) is a committee composed of members from both public and private sectors formed to assure access to quality services within the Medicaid managed care plans in Missouri. Sub-groups of this committee (Behavioral Health, Dental, and Maternal/Child Health) evaluate health indicators and best practices for intervention strategies to be implemented in the Medicaid managed care plans. Infrastructure Building Services includes the SAFE KIDS Coalition, the Early Child Education Comprehensive System (ECCS) Coalition, the Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS), the Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance System (PNSS), the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS), and the Missouri Fetal Alcohol Syndrome Rural Awareness and Prevention Project (MOFASRAPP).

12. The pr	imary Title V Program contact person:	13. The childre	en with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:
Name	Paula Nickelson	Name	Mark Davis
Title	Director of the Division of Community Health	Title	Chief, Special Health Care Needs Unit

Section for Maternal, Child and Family Health, Division	Address	Division of Community Health, Missouri Department of I	Address Division of
Jefferson City	City	Jefferson City	City
Missouri	State	Missouri	State
65102-0570	Zip	65102-0570	Zip
573-751-6246	Phone	573-751-6252	Phone
573-751-6237	Fax	573-526-5348	Fax
mark.davis@dhss.mo.gov	Email	paula.nickelson@dhss.mo.gov	Email
	Web		Web

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 10
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES

[SECS 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)]

STATE: MO

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Numerator	73	72	70	79	73
Denominator	73	72	70	79	73
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		A	N. C. arthur and I. Branton		

Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 **Annual Performance Objective** 100 100 100 100 100

> **Annual Indicator** Denominator

Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are Numerator Please IIII III only the Objection not required for future year data.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual C	bjective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				59.5	61.8
Annual Indicator			57.2	57.2	57.2
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional

		Annual Obje	ective and Perfor	mance Data	
200)5 200)6 20	007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	64.1	66.6	68.7	70.8	72.9

Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator

Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03					
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoing, compre	hensive care within a	medical home. (CSHC	CN Survey)
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				56.7	58.6
Annual Indicator			55.7	55.7	55.7
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	59.6	60.6	61.6	62.6	63.6
	Diaman fill in and other				A
	not required for rutt	ure year data.	,		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for futu	ure year data.	,		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for future for futur	ure year data. dequate private and Annual	or public insurance to	pay for the services the	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)	ose families have ac	ure year data. dequate private and Annual 2001	/or public insurance to	pay for the services the rmance Data 2003	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ac	ure year data. dequate private and Annual	/or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002	pay for the services the servic	ney need. (CSHCN 2004 67.2
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)	ose families have ac	ure year data. dequate private and Annual 2001	/or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002	pay for the services the rmance Data 2003	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ac	ure year data. dequate private and Annual 2001	/or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002 66	pay for the services the servic	ney need. (CSHCN 2004 67.2
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have ac	dequate private and Annual 2001	/or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002 66	pay for the services the servic	ney need. (CSHCN 2004 67.2
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ose families have ac	dequate private and Annual	/or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002 66	pay for the services the servic	ney need. (CSHCN 2004 67.2
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	dequate private and Annual 2001	/or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002 66	pay for the services the rmance Data 2003 66.6 66	2004 66
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	dequate private and Annual 2001 Annual 2006	/or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002 66 Objective and Perfore 2007	pay for the services the remance Data 2003 66.6 66 Provisional rmance Data 2008	2004 67.2 66 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey) Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2005 67.8	dequate private and Annual 2001 Annual	/or public insurance to Objective and Perfore 2002 66 Objective and Perfore 2007	pay for the services the remance Data 2003 66.6 66 Provisional	2004 67.2 66 Provisional

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05					
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose Survey)	families report the co	ommunity-based ser	rvice systems are orga	nized so they can use	e them easily. (CSHCN
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				76.8	78.4
Annual Indicator			75.2	75.2	75.2
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	manco Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	80	81.6	83.2	84.8	86
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.		above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators are
Denominator					
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received		•	on to all aspects of adu	•	ey)
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	the services necess	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor	•	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	the services necess	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	the services necess	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective	the services necess	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8	mance Data 2003 7.6	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	the services necess	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8	mance Data 2003 7.6	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	the services necess	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8	mance Data 2003 7.6	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8	7.6 5.8 Provisional	9.4
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8	7.6 5.8 Provisional	9.4
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	the services necess 2000 2005	Annual Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 7.6 5.8 Provisional	9.4 5.8 Provisional

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07						
ercent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of age semophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	appropriate immur	nizations a	igainst Mea	sles, Mumps, Kubella	, Polio, Diphtheria, 1	etanus, Pertussis,
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	30.5	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			86.5	87.1	76	77.
Annual Indicator			75.5	73.0	76.4	83.
Numerator			56,901	55,720	57,522	62,61
Denominator	75,242		75,366	76,329	75,290	75,16
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Provisional
			A == uol C	Shipetive and Borfor		
	2005	2006	Annuai C	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective			83.2	84.8	86.4	88.
Annual Indicator						
	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objectiv	ves for the a	above years. Numerat	or, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	House to ter	die yeur w	dıa.			
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08						
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08				Niective and Perfor	mance Data	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	2000	2001		Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	2000			-		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	2000		Annual C	2002	2003	19
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective	2000 29 26.7		Annual C	2002	2003	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 29 26.7		26.9 23.5	2002 24.3 22.2	2003 20.6 21.4	19. 21. 2,62
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 29 26.7 3,199		26.9 23.5 2,820	2002 24.3 22.2 2,659	2003 20.6 21.4 2,573	2004 19. 21. 2,62 120,09 Provisional
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 29 26.7 3,199		26.9 23.5 2,820	2002 24.3 22.2 2,659	2003 20.6 21.4 2,573 120,094	19. 21. 2,62 120,09
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 29 26.7 3,199		26.9 23.5 2,820 120,012	2002 24.3 22.2 2,659	2003 20.6 21.4 2,573 120,094 Final	19. 21. 2,62 120,09
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 29 26.7 3,199 119,869		26.9 23.5 2,820 120,012	2002 24.3 22.2 2,659 119,928 Dbjective and Perfor 2007	2003 20.6 21.4 2,573 120,094 Final mance Data 2008	19. 21. 2,62 120,09 Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 29 26.7 3,199 119,869	2001	26.9 23.5 2,820 120,012	24.3 22.2 2,659 119,928	2003 20.6 21.4 2,573 120,094 Final	19. 21. 2,62 120,09 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 29 26.7 3,199 119,869 2005	2001 	26.9 23.5 2,820 120,012 Annual C	2002 24.3 22.2 2,659 119,928 Dbjective and Perfor 2007	2003 20.6 21.4 2,573 120,094 Final mance Data 2008	19. 21. 2,62 120,09 Provisional 2009

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09							
ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one pe	rmanent mo					
	2000	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data 2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective			14	2002 16.7		2004 14	1
	11.8		14.0	14.0		.0	14.
			10,055	10,055	10,0	_	10,05
	16,010					_	•
	136,254		71,823	71,823	71,8	_	71,82
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional	Provi	isional
			Annual (Objective and Perfo	rmance Data		
	2005	2006	Alliuu	2007	2008	2009)
Annual Performance Objective	. 14		14	14		14	1
Annual Indicator							
	Please fill in only to not required for fu	the Objectiv	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator	and Annual	I Indicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only not required for fu	iture yéar d	ata.		tor, Denominator	and Annual	I Indicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only not required for fu	iture yéar d	ata. 0,000 childre			and Annual	I Indicators
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only not required for fu	iture yéar d	ata. 0,000 childre	en.		and Annual	
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only not required for further further further further for the notor vehicle crash	nes per 100	ata. 0,000 childre	en. Objective and Perfo	rmance Data 2003		
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the second	Please fill in only not required for furnot required for furnotor vehicle crast	nes per 100	o,000 childre Annual C	en. Objective and Perfore 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004	i 3
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the second s	Please fill in only not required for furnot required for furnotor vehicle crast	nes per 100	0,000 childre Annual C 5.7 4.1	en. Objective and Perfo 2002 5.1	<u>rmance Data</u> 2003	2004	l 3
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for function vehicle crash 2000 5 6.0	nes per 100	5.7 4.1	en. Dbjective and Perfor 2002 5.1 4.9	<u>rmance Data</u> 2003	2004 3.5 3.8	3 4 5
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths aged 14 years and younger caused by the years and younge	motor vehicle crash 2000 5 6.0 71 1,180,876	nes per 100	5.7 4.1	en. Display and Performance 2002 5.1 4.9 56	rmance Data 2003	2004 5 8	3 4 5
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	motor vehicle crash 2000 5 6.0 71 1,180,876	nes per 100	5.7 4.1	en. Display and Performance 2002 5.1 4.9 56	7mance Data 2003 2 2 1,160,6	2004 5 8	3 4. 5 1,160,62
Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the company of	Please fill in only not required for function for function required for function required for function for funct	nes per 100	5.7 4.1 47 1,160,366	en. 2002 5.1 4.9 56 1,151,149 Dbjective and Perform	1,160,6 Final	2004 3.5 3.8 566 29	3. 4. 5 1,160,62 isional
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 15 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 15 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 15 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 15 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 15 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths to children aged 15 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 16 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 16 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 17 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 18 years and younger caused by the rate of deaths aged 18 years and younger caus	Please fill in only not required for function for function required for function for f	2001	5.7 4.1 47 1,160,366	5.1 4.9 56 1,151,149	1,160,6 Final rmance Data 2008	2004 3.5 3.8 566 29 Provi	3. 4. 5 1,160,62 isional
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by the state of deaths to children aged 14 years and youn	Please fill in only not required for furnot re	2001	5.7 4.1 47 1,160,366	en. 2002 5.1 4.9 56 1,151,149 Dbjective and Perform	1,160,6 Final rmance Data 2008	2004 3.5 3.8 566 29	3. 4. 5 1,160,62 isional
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by a Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Indicator Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for function for function required for function for f	2001	2.7	5.1 4.9 56 1,151,149	1,160,6 Final rmance Data 2008	2004 3.5 3.8 566 29 Provi	1,160,62 isional

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11								
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital discha-	rge.							
			nnual Ob	jective and Perfor			4	
Annual Parformance Objective	2000	2001	64	2002 64.5	2003	59.4	2004	60.2
Annual Performance Objective								
Annual Indicator			58.8	57.8		64.7		62.4
Numerator			14,271	43,452		9,823		48,49
Denominator		7	75,290	75,176		6,960		77,70
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional		Provision	nal
		Δ	nnual Oh	jective and Perfor	mance Data			
	2005	2006	Illiiuui Ca	2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	64.9		66.5	68		69.6		71.
Annual Indicator								
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives ure year data.	for the ab	ove years. Numerat	or, Denominat	tor and A	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	Please fill in only the not required for futu	ne Objectives ure year data.	for the ab	ove years. Numerat	or, Denominat	tor and A	Annual Ind	dicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for futu	ure year data.		ove years. Numerat		tor and A	Annual Ind	dicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured hospital discharge.	ure year data.				tor and A	Annual Ind	dicators
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured hospital discharge.	ure yéar data.		ejective and Perfor	mance Data	96.5		
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	hospital discharge.	ure yéar data. A 2001	innual Ob	ojective and Perform 2002	mance Data			g 99.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	hospital discharge.	aure year data. A 2001	nnual Ob	ojective and Perform 2002 35	mance Data 2003	96.5		9
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	hospital discharge. 2000 22.4	20011	21 26.2	2002 35 96.1	mance Data 2003 	96.5 98.7		99.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	hospital discharge. 2000 22.4 17,000 75,963	20011	21 26.2 19,747	2002 35 96.1 73,392	mance Data 2003 	96.5 98.7 5,989		99. 77,08 77,70
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	hospital discharge. 2000 22.4 17,000 75,963	2001 1 7	21 26.2 9,747 75,290	96.1 76,366	mance Data 2003 75 76 Final	96.5 98.7 5,989	2004	99. 77,08 77,70
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	hospital discharge. 2000 22.4 17,000 75,963	2001 1 7	21 26.2 9,747 75,290	2002 35 96.1 73,392	mance Data 2003 75 76 Final	96.5 98.7 5,989	2004 Provision	99. 77,08 77,70
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future hospital discharge. 2000 22.4 17,000 75,963	2001 1 7	21 26.2 9,747 75,290	2002 35 96.1 73,392 76,366	mance Data 2003 75 76 Final	96.5 98.7 5,989	2004	99. 77,08 77,70
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future to the not req	2001 A 2006	21 26.2 9,747 75,290	2002 35 96.1 73,392 76,366 20ctive and Performance and Pe	mance Data 2003 75 76 Final mance Data 2008	96.5 98.7 5,989 6,960	2004 Provision	99 77,08 77,70 nal
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future to the not req	2001 A 2006	21 26.2 19,747 75,290	2002 35 96.1 73,392 76,366 Djective and Performance and P	mance Data 2003 75 76 Final mance Data 2008	96.5 98.7 5,989 6,960	2004 Provision	99 77,08 77,70 nal

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13									
ercent of children without health insurance.									
				Annual C	Objective and Perfor		!		
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Obj	jective	11		7.83	6.96		4.7		4.
Annual Ind	dicator	9.3		6.9	5.0		7.3		8.
Num	nerator	136,980		98,511	69,000	1	03,000		120,00
Denom	ninator	1,472,903		1,427,692	1,374,000	1,4	06,000		1,411,00
Is the Data Provisional or	Final?					Final		Final	
				Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	l		
	2005		2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Obj	jective	8.1		8.7	8.4		8.1		7
	nerator Please	e fill in only th quired for futu			above years. Numera	tor, Denomin	ator and	Annual I	ndicators
Num Denom ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	nerator Please not rec	quired for futu	ure year o	data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denomin	ator and a	Annual II	ndicators
Num Denom ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	nerator Please not rec	quired for futu	ure year o	Program.	above years. Numera			Annual I	ndicators
Num Denome ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 From the control of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received the control of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received the control of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received the control of th	nerator Please not reconinator	quired for futu	ure year o	Program.				Annual II	ndicators
Num Denom ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	nerator Please not reconinator	quired for futu	ure year o	Program.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data			
Num Denom ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have recei	nerator Please not reconinator	quired for futu paid by the N	Medicaid	Program. Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	1		{
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Procent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received annual Performance Obj	nerator Please not reconstruction not reconstructio	paid by the M	Medicaid	Program. Annual (Objective and Perfor 2002 92.1	mance Data 2003	81		83
Num Denom ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Procent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have recei Annual Performance Obj Annual Ind Num	Please not reconstruction and reconstruction a service 2000 jective dicator	paid by the N 92 74.6 347,779	Medicaid	Program. Annual (92 81.1 392,745	2002 92.1 81.0 413,182	mance Data 2003	81 80.3		83 440,30
Num Denom ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have recei Annual Performance Obj Annual Ind Num	Please not reconstructed a service 2000 jective dicator nerator	paid by the N 92 74.6 347,779	Medicaid	Program. Annual (92 81.1 392,745	2002 92.1 81.0 413,182	mance Data 2003	81 80.3 33,644		83 440,30
Rum Denom ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have recei Annual Performance Obj Annual Ind Num Denom	Please not reconstructed a service 2000 jective dicator nerator	paid by the N 92 74.6 347,779	Medicaid	92 81.1 392,745 484,417	2002 92.1 81.0 413,182	wance Data 2003 4: 5: Final	81 80.3 33,644 40,113	2004	83 440,30 528,12
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Increment of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received annual Performance Objection Annual Increment Num Denomination	Please not reconstructed a service 2000 jective dicator nerator	paid by the N 92 74.6 347,779	Medicaid	92 81.1 392,745 484,417	92.1 81.0 413,182 510,237	wance Data 2003 4: 5: Final	81 80.3 33,644 40,113	2004	83 440,30

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15					
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.				_	
	2000	Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Annual Parformance Objection		2001 1	2002 1	2003 1.4	2004 1.
Annual Performance Objectiv					
	or1.4		1.6	1.6	1.
Numerat	or1,104		1,188	1,245	1,18
Denominat	or 76,329	75,290	75,167	76,960	77,70
Is the Data Provisional or Fina	?			Final	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objectiv	re1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1
					Annual Indicators
Numerat Denominat	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerat Denominat	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	or not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	or not required for fut	ure year data.	· 		Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	or not required for fut	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor		Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 thr	not required for fut or ough 19.	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 thr Annual Performance Objective	ough 19.	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 thr Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicate	ough 19. 2000 re	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003 7.7	2004 6 9
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 thr Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicat Numerat	ough 19. 2000 re 11 or 10.4 43	Annual 2001 11 9.2 38	Objective and Perfor 2002	7mance Data 2003 7.7 6.8	2004 6 9
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 thr Annual Performance Objectiv Annual Indicat Numerat	ough 19. 2000 e	Annual 2001 11 9.2 38	Objective and Perfor 2002	7.7 6.8 28	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 thre Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicate Numerate Denominate	ough 19. 2000 e	Annual 2001 11 9.2 38	Objective and Perfor 2002	7.7 6.8 28 412,683	2004 6 9. 4 412,68
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 thre Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicate Numerate Denominate	ough 19. 2000 e	Annual 2001 11 9.2 38 412,098	Objective and Perfor 2002	7.7 6.8 28 412,683	2004 6 9. 4 412,68
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 thre Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicate Numerate Denominate	ough 19. 2000 e	Annual 2001 11 9.2 38 412,098	Objective and Perfor 2002 11 8.5 35 411,793 Objective and Perfor 2007	7.7 6.8 28 412,683 Final Final 2008	2004 6 9. 4 412,68
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 three ages and a suicide deaths are agreed aged 15 three ages and a suicide deaths are agreed aged 15 three ages and a suicide deaths are agreed aged 15 three ages and a suicide deaths are agreed aged 15 three ages and a suicide deaths are agreed aged 15 three ages ages ages aged 15 three ages ages aged 15 three ages ages ages ages ages ages ages ag	ough 19. 2000 e 11 or 10.4 or 43 or 413,307 ?	Annual 2001 11 9.2 38 412,098	Objective and Perfor 2002 11 8.5 35 411,793 Objective and Perfor 2007	7.7 6.8 28 412,683 Final	2004 6. 9. 4 412,68 Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 thre Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicate Numerate Denominate Is the Data Provisional or Finate Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicate	ough 19. 2000 e	Annual 2001 11 9.2 38 412,098 Annual 2006 7.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 11 8.5 35 411,793 Objective and Perfor 2007	7.7 6.8 28 412,683 Final 7mance Data 2008	2004 6 9 412,68 Provisional 2009

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 17 ercent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-r	isk deliveries and ne	eonates.						
•			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ıta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	82		82.1	82.5		79.5		79.9
Annual Indicator	81.8		79.6	79.1		78.3		79.
Numerator	869		828	910		944		88
Denominator	1,062		1,040	1,150		1,206		1,12
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisio	nal
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ıta</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	80.2		80.6	80.9		81.3		81.
Numerator Denominator	not required for fut	ne Objecti ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Ind	dicators
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	not required for fut	ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Ind	dicators
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	not required for fut	ure year d	ata.	Objective and Perfor	mance Da		Annual Ind	dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 reent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	not required for fut- ginning in the first tr	ure year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002		nta	Annual Inc	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective	not required for fut ginning in the first tr 2000	ure year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002 86.2	mance Da	ata 86.4		86
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ginning in the first tr	ure year d	Annual C 86 85.7	2002 86.2 86.0	mance Da	86.4 86.6		86.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ginning in the first tr 2000 86 86.1 65,714	ure year d	Annual C 86 85.7 64,552	2002 86.2 86.0 64,673	mance Da	86.4 86.6 66,641		86. 86. 66,97
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 86 86.1 65,714 76,329	ure year d	Annual C 86 85.7	2002 86.2 86.0	mance Da 2003	86.4 86.6	2004	86. 86. 66,97 77,70
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 86 86.1 65,714 76,329	ure year d	Annual C 86 85.7 64,552	2002 86.2 86.0 64,673	mance Da	86.4 86.6 66,641		86. 86. 66,97 77,70
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	not required for fut ginning in the first tr 2000 86 86.1 65,714 76,329	imester.	86 85.7 64,552 75,290	2002 86.2 86.0 64,673 75,167 Objective and Perfor	Final	86.4 86.6 66,641 76,960	2004 Provisio	86. 86. 66,97 77,70
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for futing in the first tr 2000 86 86.1 65,714 76,329	ure year d	86 85.7 64,552 75,290	2002 86.2 86.0 64,673 75,167 20bjective and Perfor 2007	mance Da 2003 Final	86.4 86.6 66,641 76,960	2004	86. 86. 66,97 77,70
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	not required for futing in the first tr 2000 86 86.1 65,714 76,329 2005 86.8	imester.	86 85.7 64,552 75,290	2002 86.2 86.0 64,673 75,167 Objective and Perfor	Final	86.4 86.6 66,641 76,960	2004 Provisio	8 8 66,9 77,7
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 86 86.1 65,714 76,329 2005 86.8	imester. 2001 2006 and Objective	Annual C 86 85.7 64,552 75,290 Annual C	2002 86.2 86.0 64,673 75,167 20bjective and Perfor 2007	Final 2008	86.4 86.6 66,641 76,960	2004 Provisio 2009	86 66,9 77,7 nal

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1									
Percent of inadequate birth spacing (less than 18 months).									
				Annual O	bjective and Perfor		<u>ıta</u>		
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Obje	ective	10.2		10.4	9.9		10.8		10.8
Annual Indi	licator	10.8		11.0	10.7		10.8		11.2
Nume	erator	4,657		4,725	4,566		4,632		4,877
Denom	inator	43,256		42,813	42,611		42,916		43,400
Is the Data Provisional or F	Final?					Final		Provisio	nal
				Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Da	ata		
	2005		2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Obje	ective	10.8		10.8	10.8		10.8		10.
Nume Denomi	erator not requi	ill in only th ired for futu	ie Objectiv ure year d	es for the a ata.	bove years. Numerat	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual In	dicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	erator not requi	ired for futu	e Objectiv ure year d	ata.				Annual In	dicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	not requi	ired for futu	ure yéar d	ata.	bjective and Perfor	mance Da			dicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of low income children ages 1-11 who consume nutritio	onally adequate o	diets.	e Objectiv ure year d	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002		ata	Annual Ind	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of low income children ages 1-11 who consume nutritio Annual Performance Obje	onally adequate o	diets.	ure yéar d	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Da	ata 20		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of low income children ages 1-11 who consume nutritio Annual Performance Obje	onally adequate o	diets. 14.0 27.0	ure yéar d	Annual O 16 24.0	bjective and Perfor 2002 18 22.5	mance Da	20 26.1		
ercent of low income children ages 1-11 who consume nutritio Annual Performance Obje Annual Indi	onally adequate o	diets. 14.0 27.0	ure yéar d	Annual O	bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Da	ata 20		dicators 2
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of low income children ages 1-11 who consume nutritio Annual Performance Obje Annual Indi Nume	onally adequate of 2000 ective	diets. 14.0 27.0 15,605	ure yéar d	Annual O 16 24.0	bjective and Perfor 2002 18 22.5	mance Da	20 26.1		
ETATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 ercent of low income children ages 1-11 who consume nutritio Annual Performance Obje Annual Indi Nume	erator not requi	diets. 14.0 27.0 15,605	ure yéar d	Annual O 16 24.0 13,413	bjective and Perfor 2002 18 22.5 13,113	mance Da	20 26.1 15,204		52,82
Percent of low income children ages 1-11 who consume nutritio Annual Performance Obje Annual Indi Nume Denomi	erator not requi	diets. 14.0 27.0 15,605	ure yéar d	Annual O 16 24.0 13,413 55,886	bjective and Perfor 2002 18 22.5 13,113	mance Da 2003 ——————————————————————————————————	20 26.1 15,204 58,278	2004	52,82
Percent of low income children ages 1-11 who consume nutritio Annual Performance Obje Annual Indi Nume Denomi	erator not requi	diets. 14.0 27.0 15,605	ure yéar d	Annual O 16 24.0 13,413 55,886	2002 18 22.5 13,113 58,278 2007	mance Da 2003 ——————————————————————————————————	20 26.1 15,204 58,278	2004	52,82
Percent of low income children ages 1-11 who consume nutritio Annual Performance Obje Annual Indi Nume Denomi	2000 ective erator inator 2000 ective inator erator inator 2005	diets. 14.0 27.0 15,605 57,796	2001	Annual O 16 24.0 13,413 55,886	bjective and Perfor 2002 18 22.5 13,113 58,278	mance Da 2003 Final	20 26.1 15,204 58,278	2004 Provisio	52,82
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 Percent of low income children ages 1-11 who consume nutritio Annual Performance Obje Annual Indi Nume Denomi Is the Data Provisional or F Annual Performance Obje Annual Indi	erator not requiinator 2000 ective erator inator 2005 ective incomplete of the properties of the prope	diets. 14.0 27.0 15,605 57,796	2001 2006	Annual O 16 24.0 13,413 55,886 Annual O	2002 18 22.5 13,113 58,278 2007	mance Da 2003 Final mance Da 2008	20 26.1 15,204 58,278	2004 Provisio 2009	52,82 onal

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3 Percent of citizens who have access to fluoridated water.					
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	75.0	75.0	75.0	83.1	85.2
Annual Indicator	74.4	80.5	81.5	81.5	79.
Numerator	3,200,000	4,504,144	4,589,211	4,648,211	3,893,12
Denominator	4,300,000	5,595,211	5,629,707	5,704,484	4,923,85
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	87.2	89.2	91.2	93.2	95.
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.		tor, Denominator and	Annual maleutore
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for futu	ure year data.		or, Boroniinaor and	7 militar maleators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	not required for futu	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor		7 madr maleators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of women who have reported smoking during pregnancy.	2000	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor 2002		2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of women who have reported smoking during pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective	2000 17.5	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of women who have reported smoking during pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective	2000	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of women who have reported smoking during pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 17.5	Annual (2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 16.8	mance Data 2003 17.9	2004 17.
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of women who have reported smoking during pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 17.5 18.3 13,955	Annual (2001 17.9	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 16.8 18.1	mance Data 2003 17.9 18.1	2004 17. 18. 14,08
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of women who have reported smoking during pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 17.5 18.3 13,955 76,329	Annual (2001 17.9 18.3 13,761	2002 16.8 18.1 13,607	mance Data 2003 17.9 18.1 13,895	
FATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 Percent of women who have reported smoking during pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 17.5 18.3 13,955 76,329	Annual (2001 17.9 18.3 13,761 75,290	2002 16.8 18.1 13,607	mance Data 2003 17.9 18.1 13,895 76,960 Final	2004 17. 18. 14,08 77,70
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of women who have reported smoking during pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 17.5 18.3 13,955 76,329	Annual C 2001 17.9 18.3 13,761 75,290 Annual C	2002 16.8 18.1 13,607 75,167 Objective and Perfore 2007	mance Data 2003 17.9 18.1 13,895 76,960 Final mance Data 2008	2004 17. 18. 14,08 77,70 Provisional
Annual Performance Objective Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 17.5 18.3 13,955 76,329	Annual C 2001 17.9 18.3 13,761 75,290	2002 16.8 18.1 13,607 75,167 Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003 17.9 18.1 13,895 76,960 Final mance Data 2008	2004 17. 18. 14,08 77,70 Provisional
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of women who have reported smoking during pregnancy. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 17.5 18.3 13,955 76,329 2005 17.5	Annual C 2001 17.9 18.3 13,761 75,290 Annual C 2006 17.3	2002 16.8 18.1 13,607 75,167 Objective and Perfore 2007	mance Data 2003 17.9 18.1 13,895 76,960 Final mance Data 2008 16.9	2004 17. 18. 14,08 77,70 Provisional 2009

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5					
Percent MC+ Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) utilizing MCH dat	a.				
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
Numerator	9	9	7	7	
Denominator	9	9	7	7	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	100.0	100	100	100	10
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in anhy th	o Objectives for the	.l		
				tar Danaminatar and	Assual Indicators
Numerator	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	not required for futu	ure year data.	Objective and Perfor		Annual Indicators
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	not required for futu	ure year data.	<u>, </u>		Annual Indicators 2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	not required for future.	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of child care facilities receiving health and safety consultation	2000 22.0	Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of child care facilities receiving health and safety consultation Annual Performance Objective	2000 22.0 38.0	Annual 2001 40.0	Objective and Perfor 2002 45.0	mance Data 2003 40	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of child care facilities receiving health and safety consultation Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 22.0 38.0 1,757	Annual 2001 40.0 43.1	Objective and Perfor 2002 45.0 40.4	mance Data 2003 40 27.6	2004
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of child care facilities receiving health and safety consultation Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 22.0 38.0 1,757 4,623	Annual 2001 40.0 43.1 1,931	Objective and Perfor 2002 45.0 40.4 1,806	mance Data 2003 40 27.6 1,233	2004 4 32. 1,46
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of child care facilities receiving health and safety consultation Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 22.0 38.0 1,757 4,623	Annual 2001 40.0 43.1 1,931 4,478	Objective and Perfor 2002 45.0 40.4 1,806	mance Data 2003 40 27.6 1,233 4,467 Final	2004 32. 1,46
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of child care facilities receiving health and safety consultation Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 22.0 38.0 1,757 4,623	Annual 2001 40.0 43.1 1,931 4,478	Objective and Perfor 2002 45.0 40.4 1,806 4,467	mance Data 2003 40 27.6 1,233 4,467 Final	2004 4 32. 1,46
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of child care facilities receiving health and safety consultation Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 22.0 38.0 1,757 4,623	Annual 2001 40.0 43.1 1,931 4,478	Objective and Perfor 2002 45.0 40.4 1,806 4,467	mance Data 2003 40 27.6 1,233 4,467 Final	2004 4 32. 1,46 4,46 Provisional
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 Percent of child care facilities receiving health and safety consultation Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 22.0 38.0 1,757 4,623	Annual 2001 40.0 43.1 1,931 4,478 Annual 2006 40	Objective and Perfor 2002 45.0 40.4 1,806 4,467 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003 40 27.6 1,233 4,467 Final mance Data 2008 40	2004 32 1,46 4,46 Provisional

rcent of tobacco use among children 14-18 years of age.					
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	32.5	32.0	31.5	31.0	30.5
Annual Indicator	-	30.3		24.8	-
Numerator		80,985		67,385	
Denominator		267,278		271,712	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	
		Annual (Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	30.0	29.5	29	28.5	

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS. The projected performance objectives are targeted to the current highest rate nationally (RI 68.6%)

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS. The projected performance objectives are targeted to the current highest rate nationally (MA 61.0%)

5. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS. The projected performance objectives are targeted to the current highest rate nationally (RI 68.9%)

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS. The projected performance objectives are targeted to the current highest rate nationally (ND 83.4%).

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State value noted. The projected performance objectives are targeted to the current highest rate nationally (ME 14.9%)

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Immunization Rate for year 2002 is from the National Immunization Survey Q3/2001-Q2/2002. Immunization rate for 2002 is for the 4:3:1:3:3 series.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data is for the 4:3:1:3:3 Series, US, National Immunization Survey, Q3/2002-Q2/2003*

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is for the 4:3:1:3:3 Series, US, National Immunization Survey, Q3/2003-Q2/2004. Revised 2005-2009 performance objectives based on a linear regression of 2000-

2004 indicators.

Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Denominators for 2001 and 2002 changed due to new population estimates. The change in denominator altered the 2001 rate previously reported. The 2002 rate was not

affected by the change in population estimates.

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #8
Field Name: PM08
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2004

Field Note:

Revised 2005-2009 performance objectives based on a linear regression of 2000-2004 indicators.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Estimates for 2001, 2002 and 2003 are based on a 2001 state survey.

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Estimates for 2004 are based on a 2001 state survey.

Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Denominators changed for 2001 and 2002 as a result of new population estimates, as a result the rates for 2001 and 2002 have changed from those previously reported.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Breastfeeding data is reported by the state health lab.

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Breastfeeding data is reported by the state health lab via the metabolic screening test. Revised 2005-2009 performance objectives based on a linear regression of 2000-

2004 indicators.

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Nunber of children screened is provided by the Newborn Hearing Screening Program as reported by hospitals and birthing clinics.

27. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Revised 2005-2009 performance objectives set at 99.0%. There may be annual variations in the percent of newborns who are screened (including indicators > 100.0%)

since mothers delivering babies in MO or IL may have their babies screened in MO, or vice versa.

28. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source of Data Annual Demographic Supplement March 2002 Table HI05 Health Insurance Coverage Status and Type of Coverage by State for All People: 2001

29. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source of Data Annual Demographic Supplement March 2004 Table HI05 Health Insurance Coverage Status and Type of Coverage by State for All People: 2003

30. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Annual performance objectives for 2005-2009 have been revised. Percent of children without health insurance is assumed to continue rising in 2005 and 2006 due to predicted Medicaid cuts, based on a linear regression projection from 2000-2004 data. Beginning in 2007, a reduction in the % of uninsured kids is forecast due to a number of factors: improving economic conditions (continuing decrease in unemployment rates), no further Medicaid cuts, and improved outreach to uninsured kids. The % of uninsured kids is conservatively predicted to drop by 0.3% per year, based on the yearly change seen in past rates from Census Bureau data.

31. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Both denominators and numerators are obtained from the Medicaid Management Report for December of the reporting calendar year.

32. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Both denominators and numerators are obtained from the Medicaid Management Report for December of the reporting calendar year. Revised 2005-2009 performance objectives based on a linear regression of 2000-2004 indicators.

33. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2003

The denominator for 1999 has been changed from what was previously reported. The change, however did not affect the rate as previously reported.

34. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Denominators were changed for 2000, 2001, and 2002. 2000 reflects the census number and 2001 and 2002 reflects new population estimates.

35. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004

Field Note:

Revised 2005-2009 performance objectives based on a linear regression of 2000-2004 indicators.

36. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Denominators for 1999 and 2000 have been changed. Rates have been adjusted accordingly and are higher for both years than had been previously reported. 1999 from

75.2 o 78.5 and 2000 from 78.7 to 81.8

37. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2 Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 data from Harvard Frequent Food Survey not available. Following data is available in 2004 for 52,828 children in WIC:

Food Category: Percent Meeting Pyramid:

Meat 41%

Breads/Cereals 11% Milks 87% Vegetables 22% Fruit 65%

WIC will no longer be using data from the Harvard Frequent Food Survey.

38. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Numbers obtained from Department of Natural Resources. Denominator = estimated number of people served by all community water systems in Missouri in 2004. Numerator = number of people in 2004 that are served by water systems that add fluoride, purchase water from a system that adds fluroide, or have natural fluoride levels above 0.6 mg/L

Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Numerator = number of providers receiving training on special needs children for October 1, 2003 - April 30, 2004.

40. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for this measure is obtained form the YRBS. Data is reported every two years. The next available year will 2003.

41. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data is an estimate based on the YRBS and the summary of Fall enrollment 2002-03 from the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education.

42. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data for this measure is obtained form the YRBS. Data is reported every two years. The next available year will 2005.

FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: MO

•			Annual C	Thiostive and Borfo	rmanaa Da	40		
	2000	2001	Allitual	Objective and Perfor	2003	<u>ıa</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective			7	7	2000	7.7	2004	7.
			7.4	8.5		7.8		7
	7.2							
	547		555	638		599		58
	76,329		75,290	75,167		76,960		77,70
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•				Final		Provision	al
					_			
	2005	2006	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	rmance Da 2008	<u>ta</u>	2009	
			7.7		2006	7.7	2009	7
								- /
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for fu	he Objectiv	es for the a	7.7 above years. Numera	tor, Denom		Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for fu	he Objectiv	es for the a		tor, Denom		Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only not required for fu	he Objectiv	res for the a	above years. Numera		inator and	Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ITCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only not required for fur	he Objectiv ture year da	res for the a	above years. Numera	rmance Da	inator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	Please fill in only not required for fur required for fur rate.	the Objective ture year da	res for the a	above years. Numera Dijective and Perfore 2002		inator and	Annual Indi	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only not required for furnate.	the Objective ture year da	Annual C	Objective and Performance 2002 2.3	rmance Da	ta		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	Please fill in only not required for furnate. 2000 2.6	the Objective ture year date of the Objective ture year date o	res for the a	above years. Numera Dijective and Perfore 2002	rmance Da	inator and		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for furnate.	the Objective ture year date of the Objective ture year date o	Annual C	Objective and Performance 2002 2.3	rmance Da	ta		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	rate. 2000 2.5 14.8	the Objective ture year date of the Control of the	Annual C	Dbjective and Performance 2002 2.3 2.4	rmance Da	ta 2.5 2.3		2 2 14
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator PTCOME MEASURE # 02 Peratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for furnate. 2000 2.6 2.5 14.8	the Objective ture year date of the Control of the	Annual C 2.5 2.9 16.8	Objective and Performance 2002 2.3 2.4 17.1	rmance Da	2.5 2.3 14.9		2 2 2 14
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator JTCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for furnate. 2000 2.6 2.5 14.8	the Objective ture year date of the Control of the	Annual C 2.5 2.9 16.8 5.8	Objective and Performance 2002 2.3 2.4 17.1	rmance Da 2003 Final	2.5 2.3 14.9 6.6	2004	2 2 14
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator DENOMINATION DENOMINATION DENOMINATION Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for furnate. 2000 2.6 2.5 14.8	the Objective ture year date of the Control of the	Annual C 2.5 2.9 16.8 5.8	Dbjective and Performance 2002 2.3 2.4 17.1 7.1	rmance Da 2003 Final	2.5 2.3 14.9 6.6	2004	2 2 14

The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.								
,		Δnı	nual Objective	and Perfor	mance Da	ata		
	2000	2001	2002	5 and 1 61161	2003	<u></u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	4.4		4.4	4.4		5.1		5.′
Annual Indicator	4.8		4.9	5.6		5.6		4.8
Numerator	364		367	418		430		37
Denominator		75,	290	75,167		76,960		77,70
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provision	nal
		<u>Anı</u>	nual Objectiv	and Perfor	mance Da	ata		
	2005	2006	2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	5.1		5.1	5.1		5.1		5.
Annual Indicator								
	Diamen Cill in and a sta	ne Objectives fo	the above ye	ars. Numera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	the above ye	ars. Numera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Ind	dicators
	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	r the above ye	ars. Numera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	r the above ye	ars. Numera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	the above ye	ars. Numera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ure year data.					Annual Ind	licators
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for future for	ure year data. Anı	nual Objectiv		mance Da			licators
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data.	nual Objectiv	e and Perfor		ata	Annual Ind	
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data. Anı	nual Objective 2002 2.3	e and Perfor	mance Da	ata 2.6		2
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Ann 2001	2002 2.3 2.5	e and Perfor 2.3 2.9	mance Da	2.6 2.2		2.
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Ann 2001	nual Objective 2002 2.3	e and Perfor	mance Da	ata 2.6		2. 2. 20
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Anı 2001	2002 2.3 2.5	e and Perfor 2.3 2.9	mance Da	2.6 2.2		2. 2. 20
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 2.3 2.4 183 76,329	Anı 2001	2002 2.3 2.5	2.3 2.9 220	mance Da	2.6 2.2 169		2. 2. 20 77,70
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.3 2.4 183 76,329	Anı 2001	2002 2.3 2.5 188 290	2.3 2.9 220 75,167	rmance Da 2003 Final	2.6 2.2 169 76,960	2004	2. 2. 20 77,70
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.3 2.4 183 76,329	Anı 2001	2002 2.3 2.5	2.3 2.9 220 75,167	rmance Da 2003 Final	2.6 2.2 169 76,960	2004	2. 2. 20 77,70
Numerator Denominator PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 2.3 2.4 183 76,329	Anı 2001 75,	2002 2.3 2.5 188 290	2.3 2.9 220 75,167	Final	2.6 2.2 169 76,960	2004 Provision	2. 2. 20 77,70
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2.3 2.4 183 76,329 2005	Ani 2001 75,	2002 2.3 2.5 188 290 nual Objective 2007 2.6	2.3 2.9 220 75,167	Final 2008	2.6 2.2 169 76,960	2004 Provision 2009	2. 20 77,70 nal
Numerator Denominator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.3 2.4 183 76,329 2005	2001 Ani 2006	2002 2.3 2.5 188 290 nual Objective 2007 2.6	2.3 2.9 220 75,167	Final 2008	2.6 2.2 169 76,960	2004 Provision 2009	2 20 77,70 nal

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05					
he perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		10.3	10.3	10.9	10.9
Annual Indicator	10.4	10.3	11.5	11.9	10.7
Numerator	794	777	873	930	840
Denominator	76,329	75,290	76,040	77,890	78,17
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 De child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	not required for future	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for future	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	not required for future and the second secon	ure year data. Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004 23.
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for future and the second secon	Annual 2001 24.0	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.5 24.7	mance Data 2003 23.8	2004 23. 25.
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 25 26.7	Annual 2001 24 24.0 260	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.5 24.7 266	2003 23.8 23.2	2004 23. 25. 27
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 e child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 25 26.7 295 1,104,547	Annual 2001 24 24.0 260	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.5 24.7 266	2003 23.8 23.2 251	2004 23. 25. 27
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25 26.7 295 1,104,547	Annual 2001 24 24.0 260 1,085,076	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.5 24.7 266	23.8 23.2 251 1,083,669 Final	2004 23. 25. 27 1,083,66
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25 26.7 295 1,104,547	Annual 2001 24 24.0 260 1,085,076	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.5 24.7 266 1,075,982	23.8 23.2 251 1,083,669 Final	2004 23. 25. 27 1,083,66
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 25 26.7 295 1,104,547	Annual 2001 24 24.0 260 1,085,076	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.5 24.7 266 1,075,982	23.8 23.2 251 1,083,669 Final	2004 23. 25. 27 1,083,66 Provisional
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14. Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 25 26.7 295 1,104,547 2005	Annual 2001 24 24.0 260 1,085,076 Annual 2006 22.8	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.5 24.7 266 1,075,982 Objective and Perfor 2007	23.8 23.2 251 1,083,669 Final mance Data 2008 22.2	2004 23. 25. 27. 1,083,669 Provisional 2009

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4 Field Name: OM04

Field Name: OM Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The denominator for 1999 was changed, however the rate was not affected

Section Number: Outcome Measure 6 Field Name: OM06

Field Name: OM Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Year: 2003
Field Note:
The denomitaors for 2001 and 200 were changed to reflect new population estmates, as a result rates were changed from those previously reproted. 2001 from 23.7 to 24.0 and 2002 from 24.2 to 24.7.

CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: MO 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 3 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 3 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 1 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 1 Total Score: 14 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met

3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: MO FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase, list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Support Adequate Early Childhood Development and Education
- 2. Improve Mental Health Status of MCH Populations
- 3. Enhance Environmental Supports and Policy Planning/Development for Prevention of Chronic Disease
- 4. Reduce Interpersonal/Domestic Violence Among MCH Populations
- 5. Prevent and Reduce Smoking Among Adolescents and Women
- 6. Reduce Obesity Among Children, Adolescents, and Women
- 7. Reduce Disparities in Birth Outcomes
- 8. Reduce Intentional and Unintentional Injuries Among Infants, Children, and Adolescents
- 9. Improve Access to Care
- 10. Reduce and Prevent Oral Health Conditions Among MCH Populations

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: MO APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
	Other If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Provide consultation and statewide training on mental health as a public health issue to the Maternal Child Health Program and the School Health Services Program. Bright Futures in Mental Health will be used as the framework.	Increase public understanding mental health is essential/integral part of overall health; promote partnership and agenda among health professionals, the child and the family; focus on improving social, developmental, health and mental health outcomes	Dr. Rochelle Mayer, National Center for Education in Maternal and Child Health, and Ellen Kagen, Communities Can!, Georgetown University, Washington, D.C.
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MO

SP #____

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of inadequate birth spacing (less than 18 months).

STATUS:

Enable more families to adequately space the birth or adoption of new family members by providing a broad range of GOAL

services.

DEFINITION Live births which occurred within 18 months of a previous live birth and the percent this number is of second and higher

order live births during the same period. All births where spacing was unknown were excluded.

Live births within 18 months of previous birth

Denominator:

Total live births in the State.

Units: 100 Text:

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

State Birth Records, 1996 Publication 4.43 (8/97 Edition), Missouri Department of Health, CHIME

SIGNIFICANCE Adequate birth spacing results in improved maternal health, reduction of infant mortality rates and overall health and increase in birth weight of subsequent infants. Preconceptual counseling and other birth spacing services are provided to non-medical, uninsured or under insured Missouri residents of child bearing age utilizing MCH Block Grant Funds and

Missouri General Revenue funds

2

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of low income children ages 1-11 who consume nutritionally adequate diets.

STATUS:

GOAL

To increase the proportion of children at risk who consume diets that meet the recommended dietary guidelines.

Low income children: those whose families are at or below 185% of the federal poverty level. Children: ages 1 through 11 **DEFINITION** Nutritionally adequate diet: consumption of the recommended number of servings of all food groups in the Food Guide

Pyramid.

Numerator:

The number of low income children completing food frequency questionnaires who consume nutritionally adequate diets.

Denominator:

All low income children completing food frequency questionnaires.

Units: 100 Text: 1

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Food frequency questionnaires completed by parents of children enrolled in WIC clinics and food frequency questionnaires completed by children in grade 4 in low income schools participating in the behaviorally-based nutrition education programs.

SIGNIFICANCE

Dietary practices that result in nutritional deficiencies or excesses contribute to: increased risk of hospitalization for infections; delays in cognitive and motor development and growth retardation among infants and children; lower scores on standardized achievement tests, especially tests of language ability; increased prevalence of obesity and/or eating disorders; and increased rates of chronic diseases such as heart disease, cancer, diabetes, hypertension, and

osteoporosis.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of citizens who have access to fluoridated water.

Active STATUS:

GOAL To prevent dental caries.

DEFINITION Percent of citizens who have access to fluoridated water

Numerator:

Number of people on fluoridated water supplies.

Denominator:

Number of people served by community water supplies.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

State dental programs, in conjunction with state drinking water programs, report fluoride levels and data on fluoridated communities to CDC's Division of Oral Health. State dental programs report data on fluoridated communities to the Association of State and Territorial Dental Directors. **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES**

Dental caries is an almost universal disease. Community water fluoridation has been proven in over 50 years as the safest, **SIGNIFICANCE**

most economical and most effective method to prevent dental decay. Published data show that reduction in decay rates of 40-60% can be accomplished through fluoridation. In addition, data indicate that each dollar spent on fluoridation results in an eighty dollar savings in dental treatment. Prevention of caries translates into less pain and suffering, improved nutrition, less time lost from school or work and an increase in positive self-image. Community water fluoridation can be

accomplished in most water supplies serving 1,000 people or more. In addition, the process is relatively simple and inexpensive. Water fluoridation has long been recognized as one of the four foundations of public health's efforts at primary

prevention, along with immunizations, pasteurization and water purification.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women who have reported smoking during pregnancy.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the number of low birth weight babies.

DEFINITION Percent of women who have reported smoking during pregnancy.

Numerator:Number of women who report smoking during pregnancy at time of delivery.

Denominator:

Total number of women who delivered babies in CY 97.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Annual Missouri Birth Certificate data. Random sampling of cotinine levels in maternal urine at time of delivery every four years. Issues: 1) Report only sources have reduced reliability; 2) Reports compared to birth certificate data in 1993 were consistent; 3) Many women who smoke use multiple substances so unable to separate impact of individual substances.

SIGNIFICANCE Infants born to mothers who do not smoke are more likely to be born full-term, at the appropriate weight, and healthier.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent MC+ Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) utilizing MCH data.

STATUS:

To improve the intervention strategies or outreach activities with the MCH activities with the MCH population, that will GOAL

ultimately improve their overall health status.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of MC+ MCOs who utilize DOH/MCH data

Denominator:

Total number of MC+ MCOs in Missouri

Units: 100 Text:

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Measured by the number of specific requests for technical assistance and consultation to MCOs on the use and

interpretation of family health related data, for health assessment determination of the population their plan is serving and prevention intervention strategy development, and evaluation, including dental health. In addition, periodic surveys will be

conducted attempting to measure the extent of data usage.

This measure will improve the overall health status of the MCH population by specifying targets of need and assisting the MC+ providers in developing strategies to address them. It should serve as a valuable quality assurance function, by monitoring the progress of these intervention strategies and outreach activities statewide. **SIGNIFICANCE**

Page 61 of 96

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of child care facilities receiving health and safety consultation.

STATUS: Activ

Goal To decrease preventable illness, injury, disability, and premature death of children in child care settings and to increase the

quality of child care provided.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of child care facilities receiving training and/or consultation.

Denominator:

Total number of licensed and license-exempt child care facilities in the state of Missouri.

Units: 100 Text:

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Services Summary Report submitted by Local Health Agencies who contract with MDOH Health and Safety Consultation

program.

SIGNIFICANCE The health of young children in child care settings, as well as adults who provide care, is a public health concern. Health

problems in young children may include injuries, communicable diseases, illnesses, poor nutrition, and delayed growth and development. Prevention starts early. Over 50% of Missouri's children age birth to six years are in child care. Research has demonstrated that quality training and professional development of child care providers positively impacts the quality of child

care.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of tobacco use among children 14-18 years of age.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To prevent and reduce tobacco use among adolescents in grades 9 through 12.

DEFINITION Tobacco use is defined as smoking on one (1) or more of the thirty days preceding the Youth Behavioral Survey (YRBS).

Numerator:Number of adolescents in grades 9 through 12 who reported smoking one or more of the thirty days preceding the survey.

Denominator:

The number of adolescents completing the YRBS.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The Youth Risk Behavioral survey conducted by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education every two years. There are at least two limitations: 1. The data only apply to adolescents who attend high school and does not cover adolescents not enrolled ia a high school program; and 2. the extent of under reporting or over reporting in YRBS cannot be

determined, although the survey questions demonstrate good test-retest reliability.

SIGNIFICANCE Missouri ranked 5th among states surveyed in 1995 and 6th in 1997 for adolescents reporting current use. For both survey

years Missouri ranked higher than the national average. It is estimated that smoking contributed to 10,930 deaths in 1997

and cost Missourians approximately \$2.6 billion dollars through the loss of productivity and healthcare expense.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MO

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

		Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004			
Annual Indicator	78.8	83.4	83.1	77.6				
Numerator	2,916	3,051	3,054	2,891				
Denominator	369,898	366,013	367,340	372,569				
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final				

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

			Annual Indicator Da	ata .	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	83.3	87.9	87.9	90.3	89.2
Numerator	24,986	28,050	28,877	30,733	31,672
Denominator	30,001	31,897	32,857	34,047	35,517
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	83.3	87.4	87.9	90.3	89.2
Numerator	5,118	4,537	4,701	5,003	5,336
Denominator	6,145	5,193	5,349	5,543	5,984
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	79.0	78.0	78.1	77.7	78.5
Numerator	60,295	58,699	58,699	59,797	61,009
Denominator	76,329	75,290	75,167	76,960	77,708
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

				Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	200	0	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual	Indicator	35.0	28.6	30.2	31.3	34.2
Nu	umerator	43,416	34,657	38,018	40,224	42,26
Deno	ominator	124,057	121,138	125,749	128,715	123,630
Is the Data Provisional	or Final?				Final	Final

13.0

1,957

15,076

Annual Indicator

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Numerator

Denominator

8.6

1,296

15,045

6.6

996

14,980

0.6

85

14,980

Provisional

75.5

13,653

18,075

Provisional

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The source of these data is the Patient Abstract System (PAS) data file, which is compiled from hospital discharge records that are filed with the Department by state law. PAS data will not be available until 10/03.

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data is from the Patient Abstract System. There is a one year lag time in receiving data from the reporting hospitals. Denominators for 2001 and 2002 were changed to reflect new population estimates, as a result rates were changed from those reported previously.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data is from the Patient Abstract System. There is a one year lag time in receiving data from the reporting hospitals. Current 2004 data won't be available until Fall, 2005

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Both numerator and denominator obtained from Division of Medical Services. Denominator = total eligibles < 1 year of age who should receive at least one initial or periodic screening in 2004 = 41,501. Numerator = total eligibles < 1 year of age who received at least one initial or periodic screening in 2004 = 37,008. Numerator and denominator were then multiplied by the proportion of individuals 0-19 years of age enrolled in Medicaid (0.856, obtained from Form 21, HSI #09A) vs. those enrolled in SCHIP + Medicaid

5. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

SCHIP Program started in 1999.

6. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Both numerator and denominator obtained from Division of Medical Services. Denominator = total eligibles < 1 year of age who should receive at least one initial or periodic screening in 2004 = 41,501. Numerator = total eligibles < 1 year of age who received at least one initial or periodic screening in 2004 = 37,008. Numerator and denominator were then multiplied by the proportion of individuals 0-19 years of age enrolled in SCHIP (0.144, obtained from Form 21, HSI #09A) vs. those enrolled in SCHIP + Medicaid.

7. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Denominators were changed for years 1999 through 2002. Rates were subsequently changed as well.

8. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Both numerator and denominator obtained from Division of Medical Services. Denominator = eligibles 6-9 years of age who received corrective treatment in 2004 (18,877) + eligibles 6-9 years of age who received any dental services in 2004 (22,268) + eligibles 6-9 years of age who received preventive dental services in 2004 (37,577) + eligibles 6-9 years of age who received dental treatment services in 2004 (24,914) = 123,636. Numerator = eligibles 6-9 years of age who received any dental services in 2004 (42,268).

9. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The annual indicator for 2001 has been revised. The numerator as originally reported included individuals up through age 20. The numerator for 2001 has been changed to reflect SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old.

10. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: The numerator is the number of children with SSI receiving services from a program within the SHCN unit. The numerator does not include children receiving services through Medicaid or other programs.

 Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08 Field Name: HSC08

Field Name: HSC Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

In order to better reflect the number of kids with special health care needs who are receiving rehabilitative services, for 2004 the definition of "State's CSHCN program" was broadened to include numbers of children that are served by Medicaid for SSI supported rehabilitative services. The denominator is the total number of children < 18 years of age in Missouri receiving SSI payments in December, 2003. The numerator is the total number of children < 16 years of age in Missouri in Medicaid that are referred for SSI supported rehabilitative services. Numbers provided by DMS.

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: MO

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	V=15		POPULATION			
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL	
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2004	Payment source from birth certificate	9.4	7.2	8.3	
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2004	Matching data files	9	5.5	7.5	
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2004	Payment source from birth certificate	79.5	92.3	86.2	
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2004	Payment source from birth certificate	71	85.4	78.5	

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: MO

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	185
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 6) (Age range 6 to 19) (Age range to)	2004	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2004	185

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: MO

YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
2004	300
2004	300 300
2004	185_
	2004

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MO

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner? (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to th electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)	
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	No	
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	3	No	
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	2	No	
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	2	No	
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	No	
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	No	
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	2	No	

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
 2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
 3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MO

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Other: Youth Tobacco Survey	3	Yes

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other: State-funded PRAMS (MoPRA)	3	Yes

'Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 19

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: BAW

Row Name: Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

USDA approval needed in order to link WIC data.

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: BAN

Row Name: Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Linked births for 2002, 2003 and part of 2004 to newborn hearing screening data in 2004.

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: BirthDefects
Row Name: Annual birth defects surveillance system

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Annual system was in place. FFY05 CDC grant application not funded. System currently not funded.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: RecentMother

Row Name: Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Missouri Pregnancy Risk Assessment (MoPRA) pilot survey is currently being conducted.

FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MO

	'	OIAIL.	1410						
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A									
The percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator Da	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	r	7.6		7.6	8.1		8.0		8.3
Numerator	r	5,798		5,759	6,057		6,194		6,440
Denominator	r7	6,329		75,290	75,167		76,960		77,708
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Provisio	nal
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B									
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator Da	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	r	6.0		6.0	6.1		6.3		6.5
Numerator	r	4,402		4,408	4,457		4,652		4,865
Denominator	r7	3,945		72,921	72,549		74,341		75,090
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?					Final		Provisio	nal
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					Annual Indicator Da	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	r	1.4		1.4	1.6		1.6		1.5
Numerator	r	1,104		1,069	1,188		1,245		1,186
Denominator	r 7	6,329		75,290	75,167		76,960	·	77,708
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?					Final		Provisio	nal
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					Annual Indicator Da	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	r	1.1		1.1	1.2		1.3		1.1
Numerator	r	833		797	846		935		854
Denominato	r 7	73,945		72,921	72,549		74,341		75,090
			_		_	_			

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

Annual Indicator Numerator	en aged 14 years a 2000	nd younger.			
Annual Indicator Numerator	2000				
Annual Indicator Numerator	2000		Annual Indicator Da		
Numerator		2001	2002	2003	2004
			12.4	11.1	12.3
	155		143	129	143
	1,180,876	1,160,366	1,151,149	1,160,629	1,160,629
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
ne death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children a			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator			4.9	4.9	4.3
Numerator	71	47	56	57	50
	4 400 070	4 400 000		4 400 000	4 400 000
	1,180,876	1,160,366	1,151,149	1,160,629	
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1,180,876	1,160,366	1,151,149	1,160,629 Final	1,160,629 Provisional
				Final	
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve			ough 24 years.	Final	
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	hicle crashes amor 2000 44.2	ng youth aged 15 thro 2001 35.6	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da	Final	Provisional 2004
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor verification.	hicle crashes amore	ng youth aged 15 thro 2001 35.6	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da	Final ata 2003	Provisional 2004 37.5
Is the Data Provisional or Final? EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	hicle crashes amol	ng youth aged 15 thro 2001 35.6 285	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da 2002 40.0	Final ata 2003 36.2	Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

				Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000		2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicate	or	48.0	51.4	53.5	52.6	51.4
Numerato	or	567	597	616	611	598
Denominato	or1,18	0,876	1,160,366	1,151,149	1,160,629	1,163,255
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C						
e rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashe	s among yout	th aged 1	15 through 24 years.			
	2000		2001	Annual Indicator Da 2002	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
Annual Indicate		259.6	254.4	267.9	275.1	264.5
Numerate	or	1,903	2,039	2,181	2,268	2,098
			801,352	814,015	824,294	793,17
Is the Data Provisional or Final					Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A						
e rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported	l case of chlan	nydia.				
	2000		2001	Annual Indicator Da 2002	a <u>ta</u> 2003	2004
Annual Indicate		26.0	25.9	28.7	30.7	33.7
Numerate	or	5,260	5,200	5,757	6,161	6,762
		2,461	200,993	200,504	200,876	200,876
Denominati						

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Population estimates were changed for 2001 and 2002. Therefore rates are different from previous reports.

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Population estimates were changed for 2001 and 2002. Therefore rates are different from previous reports.

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Population estimates were changed for 2000, 2001 and 2002. Therefore rates are different from previous reports.

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The source of these data is the Patient Abstract System (PAS) data file, which is compiled from hospital discharge records that are filed with the Department by state law. 2002 PAS data will not be available until 10/03.

5. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003

Field Note:

Data is obtained from the Patient Abstract System. There is a one year lag in data. Population estimates were changed for 2001 and 2002. Therefore rates are different from previous reports.

6. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name:

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is obtained from the Patient Abstract System. Current 2004 data won't be available until Fall, 2005 since there is a one year lag in data. The average values for 2000-2003 are being used as placeholders for the 2004 annual indicator.

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The source of these data is the Patient Abstract System (PAS) data file, which is compiled from hospital discharge records that are filed with the Department by state law. 2002 PAS data will not be available until 10/03.

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data is obtained from the Patient Abstract System. There is a one year lag in data. Population estimates were changed for 2001 and 2002. Therefore rates are different from previous reports.

9. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is obtained from the Patient Abstract System. Current 2004 data won't be available until Fall, 2005 since there is a one year lag in data. The average values for 2000-2003 are being used as placeholders for the 2004 annual indicator.

10. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The source of these data is the Patient Abstract System (PAS) data file, which is compiled from hospital discharge records that are filed with the Department by state law. 2002 PAS data will not be available until 10/03.

11. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C

Row Name:

Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data is obtained from the Patient Abstract System. There is a one year lag in data. Population estimates were changed for 2000, 2001 and 2002. Therefore rates are

different from previous reports.

12. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is obtained from the Patient Abstract System. Current 2004 data won't be available until Fall, 2005 since there is a one year lag in data. The average values for 2000-

2003 are being used as placeholders for the 2004 annual indicator.

13. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A Field Name: HSI05A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Population estimates were changed for 2001 and 2002. Therefore rates are different from previous reports.

14. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B Field Name: HSI05B

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Population estimates were changed for 2001 and 2002. Therefore rates are different from previous reports.

STATE: MO

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	76,960	63,374	11,019					2,567
Children 1 through 4	295,609	242,500	47,680					5,429
Children 5 through 9	376,715	309,576	58,904					8,235
Children 10 through 14	411,345	336,803	66,775					7,767
Children 15 through 19	412,683	345,437	58,889					8,357
Children 20 through 24	411,611	346,913	54,625					10,073
Children 0 through 24	1,984,923	1,644,603	297,892	0	0	0	0	42,428

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	73,321	3,639	
Children 1 through 4	284,820	10,789	
Children 5 through 9	364,011	12,704	
Children 10 through 14	399,748	11,597	
Children 15 through 19	401,755	10,928	
Children 20 through 24	398,504	13,107	
Children 0 through 24	1,922,159	62,764	0

STATE: MO

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	144	72	68	0	1	0	0	3
Women 15 through 17	2,623	1,736	811	25	8	12	5	26
Women 18 through 19	6,124	4,586	1,391	38	13	28	3	65
Women 20 through 34	60,295	49,950	8,167	281	778	619	29	471
Women 35 or older	8,522	7,293	828	31	168	145	3	54
Women of all ages	77,708	63,637	11,265	375	968	804	40	619

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	131	11	2
Women 15 through 17	2,438	164	21
Women 18 through 19	5,723	360	41
Women 20 through 34	55,646	3,096	1,553
Women 35 or older	7,854	371	297
Women of all ages	71,792	4,002	1,914

STATE: MO

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	583	406	168	0	5	2	0	2
Children 1 through 4	111	90	17_	2	1	0	1	0
Children 5 through 9	66	47	18	0	0	0	1	0
Children 10 through 14	96	71	22	0	1	1	0	1
Children 15 through 19	327	260	62	2	2	0	1	0
Children 20 through 24	434	332	96	2	3	1	0	0
Children 0 through 24	1,617	1,206	383	6	12	4	3	3

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	532	32	19
Children 1 through 4	102	8	1
Children 5 through 9	64	1	1
Children 10 through 14	94	1	1
Children 15 through 19	317	7	3
Children 20 through 24	413	13	8
Children 0 through 24	1,522	62	33

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,573,312	1,297,690.0	243,267.0					32,355.0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	24.3	24.3	24.3					24.3	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	5.1	3.1	15.6					6.3	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	543,682	362,344.0	158,054.0	1,495.0	4,230.0	414.0	1,316.0	15,829.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	91,604	69,440.0	17,930.0	257.0	919.0	44.0	100.0	2,914.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	10,620	7,124.0	3,340.0	41.0	14.0	4.0	30.0	67.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	335,775	202,244.0	122,086.0	923.0	1,409.0	267.0	949.0	7,897.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	125,599	89,979.0	29,037.0	396.0	1,518.0			4,669.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	5,109.0	4,322.0	8,503.0					11,527.0	2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	3.9	3.4	6.2	4.5	1.5				2004

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,523,655.0	49,657.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	24.3	24.3		2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	5.0	5.7		2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	511,967.0	24,774.0	6,941.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	86,242.0	4,011.0	1,351.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	10,405.0	215.0	0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	320,924.0	11,032.0	3,819.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	125,599.0	11,352.0		2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests		4,322.0		2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)		6.0		2004

STATE: MO

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	1,158,190	
Living in urban areas	1,158,190	
Living in rural areas	1,782,123	
Living in frontier areas	206,311	
Total - all children 0 through 19	3,146,624	

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MO

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	5,606,000.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	4.8
100% of poverty	10.7
200% of poverty	28.0

FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA** STATE: MO

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	1,551,000.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	7.4
100% of poverty	<u>14.6</u>
200% of poverty	31.0

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 06A Field Name: S06_Race_Infants Row Name: Infants 0 to 1

> Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Missouri reports American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, and multiracial individuals in the "Other and Unknown" category.

Section Number: Indicator 06A Field Name: S06_Race_Children1to4 Row Name: children 1 through 4

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Missouri reports American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, and multiracial individuals in the "Other and Unknown" category.

Section Number: Indicator 06A Field Name: S06_Race_Children5to9 Row Name: children 5 through 9

> Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Missouri reports American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, and multiracial individuals in the "Other and Unknown" category.

Section Number: Indicator 06A Field Name: S06_Race_Children10to14 Row Name: children 10 through 14

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Missouri reports American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, and multiracial individuals in the "Other and Unknown" category.

Section Number: Indicator 06A

Field Name: S06_Race_Children15to19 Row Name: children 15 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Missouri reports American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, and multiracial individuals in the "Other and Unknown" category.

Section Number: Indicator 06A

Field Name: S06_Race_Children20to24 Row Name: children 20 through 24

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Missouri reports American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, and multiracial individuals in the "Other and Unknown" category.

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Statistic is based on 2000 Census.

Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace_WICNo Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

2004 numbers not available until November. 2003 numbers are used here.

Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace_JuvenileCrimeRate Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Rates not available for 2004. Reported rates are from 2002 Juvenile Court Statistics Report published by DYS. The numbers reported in the report do not represent individual arrests of juveniles, but court referrals. Rates are calculated by using number of court referrals by race (Caucasian = Hispanic and non-Hispanic whites, African-Americans, Other) as the numerator, and 2002 MO population by race for children ages 0-19 as the denominator.

Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Statistic is based on 2000 Census.

11. Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity_WICNo

Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

2004 numbers not available until November. 2003 numbers are used here.

12. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Rates not available for 2004. Reported rates are from 2002 Juvenile Court Statistics Report published by DYS. The numbers reported in the report do not represent individual arrests of juveniles, but court referrals. Rates are calculated by using number of court referrals by race (Caucasian = Hispanic and non-Hispanic whites, African-Americans, Other) as the numerator, and 2002 MO population by race for children ages 0-19 as the denominator.

13. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Metropolitan

Row Name: Living in metropolitan areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Metropolitan statistical area defined as an urbanized county containing at least 50,000 people.

14. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Urban

Row Name: Living in urban areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Urban areas equivalent to metropolitan statistical area (= an urbanized county containing at least 50,000 people).

15. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Rural

Row Name: Living in rural areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Rural area defined as a county containing fewer than 10,000 people.

16. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Frontier

Row Name: Living in frontier areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Frontier areas equivalent to micropolitan statistical areas, defined as a county containing an urban cluster of 10,000 or more people.

17. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

2004 data is not available for percent of MO population < 50% FPL, therefore 2003 data is used in this field.

18. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

2004 data is not available for percent of MO population ages 0-19 < 50% FPL, therefore 2003 data is used in this field.

NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MO

SP # 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women who have reported smoking during pregnancy.

GOAL To reduce the number of low birth weight babies.

DEFINITION Percent of women who have reported smoking during pregnancy.

Numerator:

N/A

Number of women who report smoking during pregnancy at time of delivery.

Denominator:

Total number of women who delivered babies in CY 97.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Prenatal drug prevalence studies conducted in 1993, 1997, and 2001 identified tobacco as the most prevalent substance used among Missouri pregnant women. Additionally, tested urine specimens revealed that smoking during pregnancy was

alarmingly high among non-Hispanic white adolescents at 40.7 percent and rose dramatically among non-Hispanic black adolescents from 5.3 percent in 1997 to 15.4 percent in 2001. Smoking during pregnancy is the single most preventable cause of illness and death among mothers and infants. Cigarette smoking contributes to an estimated 10% of infant deaths and 30% of low birth weight infants. In 1996, smoking during pregnancy resulted in an estimated \$10,000,000 in smoking-attributable neonatal expenditures in Missouri. In 2000, 26 infants died due to maternal smoking during

pregnancy.

Infants born to mothers who do not smoke are more likely to be born full-term, at the appropriate weight, and healthier.

OBJECTIVE

SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of tobacco use among children 14-18 years of age.

GOAL To prevent and reduce tobacco use among adolescents in grades 9 through 12.

DEFINITIONTobacco use is defined as smoking on one (1) or more of the thirty days preceding the Youth Behavioral Survey (YRBS).

Numerator:

Number of adolescents in grades 9 through 12 who reported smoking one or more of the thirty days preceding the survey.

Denominator:

The number of adolescents completing the YRBS.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE N/A

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The Youth Risk Behavioral survey conducted by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education every two years.

There are at least two limitations: 1. The data only apply to adolescents who attend high school and does not cover adolescents not enrolled ia a high school program; and 2. the extent of under reporting or over reporting in YRBS cannot be

determined, although the survey questions demonstrate good test-retest reliability.

SIGNIFICANCE

Tobacco use among Missouri adults remained steady over the past decade with 27.2 percent reporting smoking in 2003, the third highest rate among all states. During the same decade, smoking among pregnant women in Missouri declined

significantly by 26.6 percent, from 24.8 percent in 1990 to 18.2 percent in 2002, as determined by self-reported information on birth certificates. However, smoking among pregnant females aged 15-19 years remained high at 27.2 percent in 2001-

2002, and overall smoking during pregnancy in Missouri ranked 8th highest among all states.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of mothers who are overweight by 20% or more at birth.

GOAL Reduce the % of mothers who are overweight by 20% or more at birth.

DEFINITION Overweight defined as a weight that is at least 20% greater than ideal body weight.

Numerator:

Number of mothers overweight by 20% or more at time of child's birth.

Denominator:

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

N/A

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Missouri Information for Community Assessment (MICA) data is drawn from health records maintained by DHSS.

Missouri's percentage of mothers who are overweight by 20% or more at birth has increased from 35.2% in 2000 to 36.9% in 2003. Overweight (or obese) women are at a higher risk of developing Type II diabetes, high blood pressure, high cholesterol levels, and other harmful health conditions.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of students who participated in vigorous physical activity.

GOAL Increase the percentage of students in grades 9 through 12 who participated in vigorous physical activity.

DEFINITION Percentage of students who exercised or participated in physical activities for at least 20 minutes that made them sweat and

breathe hard on three or more of the past seven days.

Numerator:

Number of students in grades 9 through 12 who participated in vigorous physical activity.

Denominator:

Number of students in grades 9 through 12 who completed the YRBS.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

22-7. Increase the proportion of adolescents who engage in vigorous physical activity that promotes cardiorespiratory fitness 3 or more days per week for 20 or more minutes per occasion. Target: 85 percent. Baseline: 64 percent of students in grades 9 through 12 engaged in vigorous physical activity 3 or more days per week for 20 or more minutes per occasion

in 1997.

Data source: Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS), CDC, NCCDPHP.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The Youth Risk Behavioral survey conducted by the Department of Elementa

The Youth Risk Behavioral survey conducted by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education every two years. There are at least two limitations: 1. The data only apply to adolescents who attend high school and does not cover adolescents not enrolled in a high school program; and 2. Survey questions demonstrate good test-retest reliability and validity, although student's self-reports of physical activity are influenced substantially by cognitive factors and to a lesser

degree by situational ones.

SIGNIFICANCE
Missouri's % of students engaging in vigorous physical activity ranked 7th among the 33 States participating in the YRBS in 2003. Lack of vigorous physical activity is the main contributor to obesity in adolescents. Overweight (or obese) children are

at a higher risk of developing Type II diabetes, high blood pressure, high cholesterol levels, and other harmful health

onditions.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women who enrolled in WIC during first trimester of pregnancy.

GOAL To increase percent of low-income women participating in WIC at first trimester of pregnancy.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of women who enrolled in WIC at 0-13 weeks of gestation.

Denominator:

Number of live births to mothers on Medicaid.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

N/A

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Annual Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance System (PNSS) data for Numerator and Missouri Information for Community Assessment (MICA) for the Denominator. Limitations of the data are: 1) an assumption that the majority of low-income pregnant women are at nutrition risk, 2) to be eligible for Medicaid a women must be a citizen or legal immigrant while WIC requires to be a MO resident (according to MICA data in MO 30,897 women participated in WIC, but PNSS reported 35,117 women enrolled in WIC being pregnant in 2003), 3) live birth does not include fetal deaths.

Prenatal WIC participation is associated with improved birthweights, reduction in preterm delivery and small for gestational SIGNIFICANCE age deliveries.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

GOAL

DEFINITION

The incidence of emergency room visits for diseases of teeth and jaw for children ages 15 and under per 1,000 population.

To reduce the incidence of emergency room visits for diseases of teeth and jaw for children ages 15 and under per 1,000

opulation.

Diagnoses and procedures associated with emergency room visits are classified in accordance with the Ninth Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9). This classification is the result of close collaboration among many nations and non- governmental organizations, under the auspices of the World Health Organization. Its original use was to classify causes of mortality. Later, it was extended to include diagnoses in morbidity. For example, the "clinical modification" of the ICD is used in categorizing hospital diagnoses. In practice, the ICD has become the international standard diagnostic classification for all general epidemiological, as well as health management, purposes. The ninth revision of the ICD has been used to classify disease and procedures beginning January 1, 1979, to the present.

Numerator:

Number of incidents reported through the Patient Abstract System.

Denominator: State Population.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

N/A

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The source of these data is the Patient Abstract System (PAS) data file, which is compiled from hospital discharges, emergency room visits, and outpatient surgery records that are reported to the Department of Health and Senior Services by state law. The reporting requirements for the PAS have been in place in Missouri continuously since 1993. In some instances, certain data values in the patient record are missing or miscoded. Visits with missing or miscoded data values are included in the statewide totals, but may not be included within the displayed categories of certain variables (e.g., race, county of residence). Thus, a total of all categories of a variable may not equal the statewide total for that variable. Patients with a missing state of residence were deleted from the analysis file. Those visit records identified as Missouri residents, but missing a county identifier, are included in the statewide totals only.

SIGNIFICANCE

Today most American children enjoy excellent oral health. Poor oral health is found primarily among children living in poverty, some racial/ethnic minority populations, disabled children, and children with HIV infection. Tooth decay remains one of the most common diseases of childhood – 5 times as common as asthma and 7 times as common as hay fever. More than half of children aged 5-9 have had at least one cavity or filling; 78 percent of 17-year-olds have experienced tooth decay. By age 17, more than 7 percent of children have lost at least one permanent tooth to decay. Injuries to children, intentional and non-intentional, often involve trauma to the head, neck, and mouth. The leading causes of oral and head injuries are sports, violence, falls, and motor vehicle crashes. One in four American children are born into poverty (annual income of \$17,000 or less for a family of four). Children and adolescents living in poverty suffer twice as much tooth decay as their more affluent peers, and their disease is more likely to go untreated. Children from families without medical insurance are 2.5 times less likely than insured children to receive dental care. Children from families without dental insurance are 2.6 who lack dental insurance.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The incidence of domestic violence per 100,000 population.

GOAL To reduce the incidence of domestic violence per 100,000 population.

DEFINITIONDomestic violence incidents are reported whether or not an arrest is made and include any dispute arising between

spouses, former spouses, persons with child(ren) in common regardless of whether they reside together, persons related by blood, persons related by marriage, non-married persons currently residing together, and non-married persons who have resided together in the past. Domestic violence incidents are reported when an officer believes a dispute crosses an abuse threshold as indicated by harassment, stalking, coercion, assault, sexual assault, battery, or unlawful imprisonment. Domestic violence incidents are reported by the highest ranked relationship between victim and offender, regardless of the

number of persons or potential multiple relationships present during an incident.

Numerator

Number of incidents reported through the Uniform Crime Reporting Program.

Denominator: State Population. Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE N/A

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The Missouri Uniform Crime Reporting Program does not require law enforcement agencies to report victim / offender

relationships in domestic violence incidents. For this reason, the sum of the victim / offender relationship may not equal total domestic violence incidents. This measure does not include incidents between persons who are or who have been in a

continuing social relationship of a romantic nature with the victim.

SIGNIFICANCE

Domestic violence is now clearly recognized as criminal behavior, but is often minimized within the context of parenting.

This impact is pervasive in nature and has both direct and indirect effects on women and children. Living with violence

terrorizes children and presents a formidable barrier to women's resources and confidence to meet their children's needs. The dynamic of interpersonal violence contradicts the fundamental principles of mutual respect, trust, equality and

cooperation required for effective co-parenting.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Percent of women 18-44 years of age who reported frequent mental distress (FDM) for fourteen or more days during the

past thirty days their mental health was not good.

GOAL

To reduce the percent of women of childbearing age who report poor mental health.

DEFINITIONPoor mental health is defined as an individual's mental health, which includes stress, depression, and problems with

emotions, reported as mental health not good on three or more days during the past thirty days. (BRFSS)

Numerator:

Number of women 18-44 years of age who reported that on fourteen or more days during the past thirty days their mental

health was not good.

Denominator:

Number of respondents who were women 18-44 years excluding respondents who were women 18-44 years with do not

know/refused or with missing responses.

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

N/A

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System conducted by the Department of Health and Senior Services annually. The limitations of this data include bias introduced by the BRFSS methodology, and statistical issues related to sample sizes. BRFSS limitations include bias introduced by relying on telephone interviews (excluding households without telephones, individuals with hearing, speech, cognitive, or other communication impairments or who are institutionalized) (Hough, 1999) and the exclusion of persons < 18 years. All data collected by the BRFSS are based on self-reports from the respondents. By its nature, self-reported data may be subject to error for several reasons. An individual may have difficulty remembering events that occurred a long time ago or the frequency of certain behaviors. Some respondents may overreport socially

desirable behaviors, while underreporting behaviors they perceive to be less acceptable.

SIGNIFICANCE Providing continuous care and attention of children is a demanding task, and poor mental health in mothers might be

expected to have adverse consequences on their children's health and development. Several studies in wealthy countries provide compelling evidence that postnatal depression is associated with long-term emotional, cognitive, and behavioral

problems in children.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Percent of special needs children ages 3-5 enrolled in public preschool programs.

GOAL

DEFINITION

Increase the percent of special needs children ages 3-5 who are enrolled in special public preschool programs.

A special need child is defined as having been diagnosed with one of the following: mental retardation, autism, emotional disturbance, speech or language impairment, orthopedic impairment, visual impairment, hearing impairment, brain injuries,

or specific learning disabilities.

Numerator:

The number of children ages 3-5 enrolled in public preschool programs during the specified year who are identified as

having special needs.

Denominator:

Total resident population of children ages 3-5 during the specified school year (2000 Census).

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

N/A

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The data is provided by the Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE). The numerator includes children who are parentally placed in private schools who are receiving special education services through public schools. The denominator does not include these children. In districts with large number of private school kids, this inflates the incidence rate. DESE will probably change the formulation so that private school students are separated from the public

school students with disabilities.

SIGNIFICANCE

During the past six school years (1998-2004), DESE has sponsored the Missouri Preschool Exit Assessment Project, in which public preschool teachers have assessed their exiting preschoolers using the School Entry Profile measurement instrument. This instrument consists of 65 items that reflect important entry-level skills, knowledge, behaviors, and dispositions, including symbolic development, communication, mathematical/physical knowledge, working with others, learning to learn, physical development, and conventional knowledge. The results of the project have consistently shown a significant improvement in physical and mental development among disabled and healthy children who were enrolled in preschool when compared to children entering kindergarten without preschool experience, using the same School Entry Profile instrument (Pfannenstiel 2002, 2003, 2004). Young children with disabilities benefit from enrollment in preschool during the critical early childhood years. The Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services will use an early childhood

performance measure to ensure these children are receiving a proper education.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of infants delivered who received a newborn visit within 4 weeks of birth.

GOAL To increase the number of infants receiving newborn visit within first month of life.

DEFINITIONThe number of infants delivered whose mothers received care at Feder-ally Qualified Health Care Centers (FQHC), who

received a newborn visit at the FQHC.

Numerator:

Number of infants who receive a newborn visit within 4 weeks of birth as reported through the Uniform Data System.

Denominator:

Number of infants of prenatal care users in FQHCs who delivered during the reporting year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE

N/A

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

SIGNIFICANCE

Uniform Data System data are obtained from Bureau of Primary Health Care supported health centers.

In the first weeks after birth, a baby should begin a series of examinations, sometimes called well child visits. Newborns normally have office appointments scheduled in the first or second week, and at 1 month of age. During one or more of these visits, the baby should have: 1) Length, weight, and head circumference measurements taken. These measurements are plotted on a growth chart and are compared to previous and later markings to make sure the baby is growing as expected. 2) A physical examination. The health professional examines the baby thoroughly for any problems. The health professional assesses the baby's reflexes and general development and observes how the mother and the baby interact. The mother also is asked questions about how the baby and the rest of the family are doing, how the baby is eating and sleeping, and whether the mother has noticed any changes in behavior. 3) Heel prick. A blood sample is taken from the baby's heel to test for certain inherited diseases such as phenylketonuria (PKU). Although the baby may have been tested for PKU at birth, there is a risk of inaccurate test results when the test is done within 48 hours of delivery. A second PKU test should be done several days later, usually at the baby's first well baby visit. 4) Immunizations. Individual and series

immunizations are started or continued at these well child visits.

OBJECTIVE